arXiv:1601.03320v1 [math.AP] 12 Jan 2016

Inverse Problems of Combined Photoacoustic and Optical Coherence Tomography

P. Elbau[1] peter.elbau@univie.ac.at
L. Mindrinos[1] leonidas.mindrinos@univie.ac.at
O. Scherzer[1,2] otmar.scherzer@univie.ac.at

January 14, 2016

[1]Computational Science Center
University of Vienna
Oskar-Morgenstern-Platz 1
A-1090 Vienna, Austria

[2]Johann Radon Institute for Computational and Applied Mathematics (RICAM)
Altenbergerstraße 69
A-4040 Linz, Austria

Abstract

Optical coherence tomography (OCT) and photoacoustic tomography (PAT) are emerging non-invasive biological and medical imaging techniques. It is a recent trend in experimental science to design experiments that perform PAT and OCT imaging at once. In this paper we present a mathematical model describing the dual experiment.

Since OCT is mathematically modelled by Maxwell's equations or some simplifications of it, whereas the light propagation in quantitative photoacoustics is modelled by (simplifications of) the radiative transfer equation, the first step in the derivation of a mathematical model of the dual experiment is to obtain a unified mathematical description, which in our case are Maxwell's equations. As a by-product we therefore derive a new mathematical model of photoacoustic tomography based on Maxwell's equations.

It is well known by now, that without additional assumptions on the medium, it is not possible to uniquely reconstruct all optical parameters from either one of these modalities alone. We show that in the combined approach one has additional information, compared to a single modality, and the inverse problem of reconstruction of the optical parameters becomes feasible.

1. Introduction

Only recently there have been developed experimental setups that can perform photoacoustic and optical coherence tomography experiments in parallel, see [52] and further developments in [33, 34]. Combined setups can be used for imaging biological tissue up to a depth of approximately 5 mm. They have been used for in vivo studies of human and mouse skins. In the current state of experiments, the two recorded modalities are visualised by superposition after registration, we refer the reader to the review paper [13].

In this paper, we derive a mathematical model for quantitative imaging of the multi-modal experiment based on Maxwell's equations. This study characterises the additional information

obtainable by the dual experiment. In this paper we assume that the sample is an inhomogeneous, isotropic, non-magnetic, linear dielectric medium, and the imaging parameters of the medium are the conductivity, the susceptibility and the Grüneisen parameter.

Even though the mathematical modelling of optical coherence tomography based on Maxwell's equations is well established, most of the literature relies on simplified models of the Helmholtz equation [2, 10, 18, 19, 41], where, as a consequence, the frequency dependence of the susceptibility is neglected. It is a recent trend to consider the more general case of Maxwell's equations for the mathematical description of the OCT system [9, 14, 43, 44].

The mathematical modelling of quantitative photoacoustics, on the other hand, is based on the radiative transfer equation or its diffusion approximation [6, 23]. Maxwell's equations have only been considered for mathematical models in thermoacoustic tomography where low-frequency radiation is used for illumination [5, 7].

To formulate the dual-modal setup, we consider Maxwell's equations as the basic modeling equations since both imaging techniques rely on the same excitation. In particular, this provides a more general model for quantitative photoacoustics based on Maxwell's equations, which is also applicable in the case of high frequency radiation.

This paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, we give an overview of the inverse problem in photoacoustics. We present the governing equations and the commonly used simplifications. Next, we formulate mathematically the problem of optical coherence tomography starting from Maxwell's microscopic equations. For an extensive mathematical modelling of optical coherence tomography, we refer to [14]. The system of equations for the dual experiment is obtained in Section 4. In Section 5, the equation system is transformed to a Fredholm integral equation for the Grüneisen parameter under the far field and Born approximations, respectively. Finally, we discuss the connection between the optical parameters appearing in the radiative transfer equation and Maxwell's equations.

To simplify the reading, we summarise the basic notations used in this paper in the following tables.

Symbol	Quantity	Definition
c	speed of light	(1)
μ_{a}	absorption coefficient	(1)
μ_{s}	scattering coefficient	(1)
h	Planck constant	(2)
ϵ	diffusion coefficient	(4)
γ	Grüneisen parameter	(6)
K	Bulk modulus	(7)
ϱ	mass density	(7)
c_s	speed of sound	(8)
μ	electric permittivity	(20)
σ	electric conductivity	(21)
χ	electric susceptibility	(21)

Table 1. *Physical Quantities*

2. Photoacoustic Imaging

Photoacoustic tomography is a hybrid imaging technique which measures the acoustic response of an object upon illumination with an electromagnetic wave. In experiments the object is illuminated with a short laser pulse, typically with light in the near infrared spectrum. This pulse is absorbed by the medium, where the exact amount varies locally depending on the material properties, in particular on the absorption coefficient; and thereby a fraction is transformed into heat energy. This leads to a local change of pressure in the medium, where the transformation factor is again depending on the local thermodynamic properties of the medium, typically reduced to the single Grüneisen parameter. Finally, this pressure propagates as an ultrasonic wave through the medium and is recorded at every point on a surface around the object as a function of time.

For a mathematical description of this measurement setup, we have to model the three different phenomena:

i. The propagation of the laser light in the medium,

ii. the transformation of the absorbed energy into a pressure distribution, and

iii. the propagation of the pressure wave inside the medium.

For the readers convenience we summarize the variables, which are used throughout this section, as a backup reference:

Symbol	Quantity	Definition
$\psi_{\boldsymbol{\vartheta}}$	photon density	(1)
Θ	phase function	(1)
$\Phi_{\boldsymbol{\vartheta}}$	energy fluence	(2)
$\bar{\Phi}$	total energy fluence	(5)

Table 2. *Radiative Transfer Equation*

The light propagation is usually modelled via the radiative transfer equation. Assuming that we have a laser pulse of fixed frequency $\nu > 0$, the photon density $\psi_{\boldsymbol{\vartheta}}(t, \boldsymbol{x})$ at time $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and coordinate $\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}^3$ moving in the direction $\boldsymbol{\vartheta} \in \mathbb{S}^2$ fulfils the equation

$$\frac{1}{c}\partial_t \psi_{\boldsymbol{\vartheta}}(t, \boldsymbol{x}) + \langle \boldsymbol{\vartheta}, \nabla_{\boldsymbol{x}} \psi_{\boldsymbol{\vartheta}}(t, \boldsymbol{x}) \rangle + (\mu_{\mathrm{s}}(\boldsymbol{x}) + \mu_{\mathrm{a}}(\boldsymbol{x}))\psi_{\boldsymbol{\vartheta}}(t, \boldsymbol{x}) = \frac{\mu_{\mathrm{s}}(\boldsymbol{x})}{4\pi} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} \Theta(\boldsymbol{x}, \tilde{\boldsymbol{\vartheta}}, \boldsymbol{\vartheta}) \psi_{\tilde{\vartheta}}(t, \boldsymbol{x}) \, \mathrm{d}s(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\vartheta}}), \tag{1}$$

where μ_{a} is the absorption coefficient and μ_{s} is the scattering coefficient at the radiation frequency ν, see for example [46]. Here, the constant c denotes the speed of light and Θ is the phase function, that is $\Theta(\boldsymbol{x}, \tilde{\boldsymbol{\vartheta}}, \boldsymbol{\vartheta})$ is the probability density that a photon heading into a direction $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\vartheta}} \in \mathbb{S}^2$ is scattered at the position $\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}^3$ into the direction $\boldsymbol{\vartheta} \in \mathbb{S}^2$.

Since in photoacoustic imaging the laser excitation occurs to be very short and the absorption of the light happens much faster than the propagation of the acoustic wave, the light distribution as a function of time is not relevant, but only the total energy absorbed at each point matters. Thus we turn our attention to the total energy fluence $\Phi_{\boldsymbol{\vartheta}}$ originating from photons moving in

the direction $\boldsymbol{\vartheta} \in \mathbb{S}^2$ as new variable:

$$\Phi_{\boldsymbol{\vartheta}}(\boldsymbol{x}) = h\nu \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \psi_{\boldsymbol{\vartheta}}(t, \boldsymbol{x}) c \, \mathrm{d}t, \quad \boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}^3, \ \boldsymbol{\vartheta} \in \mathbb{S}^2, \tag{2}$$

where h denotes the Planck constant. Then, $\Phi_{\boldsymbol{\vartheta}}$ solves the equation

$$\langle \boldsymbol{\vartheta}, \nabla_{\boldsymbol{x}} \Phi_{\boldsymbol{\vartheta}}(t, \boldsymbol{x}) \rangle + (\mu_{\mathrm{s}}(\boldsymbol{x}) + \mu_{\mathrm{a}}(\boldsymbol{x})) \Phi_{\boldsymbol{\vartheta}}(t, \boldsymbol{x}) = \frac{\mu_{\mathrm{s}}(\boldsymbol{x})}{4\pi} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} \Theta(\boldsymbol{x}, \tilde{\boldsymbol{\vartheta}}, \boldsymbol{\vartheta}) \Phi_{\tilde{\jmath}}(t, \boldsymbol{x}) \, \mathrm{d}s(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\vartheta}}). \tag{3}$$

However, since the phase function Θ is unknown, often the diffusion approximation

$$\operatorname{div}(\epsilon \nabla \bar{\Phi})(\boldsymbol{x}) = \mu_{\mathrm{a}}(\boldsymbol{x}) \bar{\Phi}(\boldsymbol{x}),$$

with the diffusion coefficient ϵ given by

$$\epsilon(\boldsymbol{x}) = [3(\mu_{\mathrm{a}}(\boldsymbol{x}) + (1 - \tfrac{1}{3}\Theta_1(\boldsymbol{x}))\mu_{\mathrm{s}}(\boldsymbol{x})]^{-1}, \tag{4}$$

is used, which is an equation for the total energy fluence

$$\bar{\Phi}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} \Phi_{\boldsymbol{\vartheta}}(\boldsymbol{x}) \, \mathrm{d}s(\boldsymbol{\vartheta}). \tag{5}$$

This is justified if $\Theta(\boldsymbol{x}, \tilde{\boldsymbol{\vartheta}}, \boldsymbol{\vartheta}) \approx 1 + \Theta_1(x)\langle \tilde{\boldsymbol{\vartheta}}, \boldsymbol{\vartheta} \rangle$ and $\Phi_{\boldsymbol{\vartheta}}(\boldsymbol{x}) \approx \bar{\Phi}(\boldsymbol{x}) + \langle \boldsymbol{\phi}_1(\boldsymbol{x}), \boldsymbol{\vartheta} \rangle$ for some functions Θ_1 and $\boldsymbol{\phi}_1$, which is a good approximation if we have a strongly scattering medium, see [12, Chapter XXI, §5].

The absorbed energy at a point $\boldsymbol{x}$ is then given by $\mu_{\mathrm{a}}(\boldsymbol{x})\bar{\Phi}(\boldsymbol{x})$. Moreover, it is common to assume that the produced pressure density $p^{(0)}$ inside the medium is proportional to the absorbed energy where the proportionality coefficient is the Grüneisen parameter γ:

$$p^{(0)}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \gamma(\boldsymbol{x}) \mu_{\mathrm{a}}(\boldsymbol{x}) \bar{\Phi}(\boldsymbol{x}). \tag{6}$$

For the propagation of the acoustic wave, the standard assumption is that we have an elastic medium with mass density ϱ, bulk modulus K, and vanishing shear modulus. Then, according to linear elasticity theory, see for instance [11], we obtain the equation

$$\partial_{tt} p(t, \boldsymbol{x}) = K(\boldsymbol{x}) \operatorname{div}_{\boldsymbol{x}}(\tfrac{1}{\varrho} \nabla_x p)(t, \boldsymbol{x}). \tag{7}$$

Moreover, the density is usually considered to be approximatively constant throughout the medium, so that we may simplify this equation to the linear wave equation

$$\partial_{tt} p(t, \boldsymbol{x}) = c_{\mathrm{s}}^2(\boldsymbol{x}) \Delta_x p(t, \boldsymbol{x}) \tag{8}$$

with the speed of sound $c_{\mathrm{s}} = \sqrt{\frac{K}{\varrho}}$.

Finally, we acquire the measurements

$$g(t, \boldsymbol{\xi}) = p(t, \boldsymbol{\xi}), \quad t > 0, \ \boldsymbol{\xi} \in \mathcal{D} \tag{9}$$

at some detector surface $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathbb{R}^3$.

Putting all this together, the inverse problem of photoacoustic imaging is to recover the material parameters μ_{a}, μ_{s} (which in this approximation only enters via the diffusion coefficient ϵ, see (4)), γ, and c_{s} from the given measurement data g.

This problem can be solved in two steps. First, we consider the acoustic part, given by the equation system

$$\boxed{\begin{aligned} \partial_{tt} p(t,\boldsymbol{x}) &= c_{\mathrm{s}}^2(\boldsymbol{x})\Delta_x p(t,\boldsymbol{x}), && t>0,\ \boldsymbol{x}\in\mathbb{R}^3,\\ \partial_t p(0,\boldsymbol{x}) &= 0, && \boldsymbol{x}\in\mathbb{R}^3,\\ p(t,\boldsymbol{\xi}) &= g(t,\boldsymbol{\xi}), && t>0,\ \boldsymbol{\xi}\in\mathcal{D},\\ p(0,\boldsymbol{x}) &= p^{(0)}(\boldsymbol{x}), && \boldsymbol{x}\in\mathbb{R}^3. \end{aligned}} \tag{10}$$

For given, non-trapping speed of sound c_{s} (in practice, a common assumption is that c_{s} is approximatively constant), the measurements g allow to uniquely reconstruct the initial pressure $p^{(0)}$, see [1]. If the detector surface has a simple geometry (the easiest examples are a planar or a spherical detector) and the speed of sound is assumed to be constant, then there are a lot of explicit reconstruction formulas for $p^{(0)}$ available: [21, 22, 30, 29, 31, 37, 38, 39, 48, 49, 50, 51].

For unknown speed of sound, it was suggested in [26] to use multiple photoacoustic measurements with a focussed illumination, see also [15, 16] for reconstruction formulas for this kind of illumination. For a more extensive review of these works, we refer to [28].

So, let us assume that we can invert the acoustic problem and recover $p^{(0)}$ from the photoacoustic measurements. Then, as the second step, it remains the problem of reconstructing the material parameters μ_{a}, μ_{s}, γ from the internal data $p^{(0)}$ via the relations

$$\boxed{\begin{aligned} \mathrm{div}_{\boldsymbol{x}}(\epsilon\nabla\bar{\Phi})(\boldsymbol{x}) &= \mu_{\mathrm{a}}(\boldsymbol{x})\bar{\Phi}(\boldsymbol{x}), && \boldsymbol{x}\in\mathbb{R}^3,\\ \bar{\Phi}(\boldsymbol{\xi}) &= \bar{\Phi}^{(0)}(\boldsymbol{\xi}), && \boldsymbol{\xi}\in\mathcal{D},\\ p^{(0)}(\boldsymbol{x}) &= \gamma(\boldsymbol{x})\mu_{\mathrm{a}}(\boldsymbol{x})\bar{\Phi}(\boldsymbol{x}), && \boldsymbol{x}\in\mathbb{R}^3, \end{aligned}}$$

where we assumed that we know the boundary data $\bar{\Phi}^{(0)}$ at the detector surface, since we are expected to know all the material parameters outside the object, in particular in the vicinity of the detector, and thus $p^{(0)}$ allows us to calculate $\bar{\Phi}$ around the detector surface. However, in practice, these data are not used, because the absorption is considered very small outside the object of interest. We are only using these data mathematically to be able to define the modelling equations in all $\mathbb{R}^3$, which is state of the art in this field.

In [4], it was shown that even with multiple photoacoustic measurements, it is not possible to reconstruct all three parameters, but from the knowledge of one of the parameters (usually, the Grüneisen parameter γ is assumed to be approximatively constant, although there is no physical evidence for this) the other two can be explicitly calculated, see also [3] for a review about the reconstruction from internal data.

Thus, the inverse photoacoustic problem is not well-posed, as it requires an additional three dimensional data to allow the reconstruction of all material parameters.

3. Optical Coherence Tomography

Opposed to photoacoustic imaging, optical coherence tomography is not a hybrid imaging technique. It visualises the back-scattered light of an object. However, analogously to photoacoustic imaging, the object is illuminated with a short laser pulse in the visible or near infrared spectrum. In practical realisations, the illumination is triggered to produce a plane wave, and the scattered wave is measured at a planar detector parallel to the plane wave illumination.

Since the time resolution of the detectors, which would be required for the desired spatial resolution, is practically hard to achieve, the detection of the reflected wave is accomplished by superimposing it with a reference beam which is a copy of the wave used for illumination (produced by a beam splitter from the original wave) sent to a mirror instead of to the object. Then, the total intensity of this superposition is measured at every point on the detection plane.

For the readers convenience we summarize the variables, which are used throughout this section, as a backup reference:

Symbol	Quantity	Definition
$\boldsymbol{e}$	microscopic electric field	(11a)
ρ	microscopic charge density	(11a)
$\boldsymbol{b}$	microscopic magnetic field	(11b)
$\boldsymbol{j}$	microscopic electric current	(11d)
$\boldsymbol{E}$	averaged electric field	(15a)
$\bar{\rho}$	averaged charge density	(15a)
$\boldsymbol{B}$	averaged magnetic field	(15b)
$\bar{\boldsymbol{j}}$	averaged electric current	(15d)
$\tilde{\rho}$	approximation of $\bar{\rho}$	(16a)
$\boldsymbol{J}$	approximation of $\bar{\boldsymbol{j}}$	(16b)
$\boldsymbol{P}$	electric polarization	(18)
$\boldsymbol{M}$	magnetic polarization	(19)
$\boldsymbol{E}_s$	stationary electric field	(22)
$\boldsymbol{B}_s$	stationary magnetic field	(22)
$\boldsymbol{j}_s$	stationary electric current	(22)
ρ_s	stationary charge density	(22)
$\mathcal{E}$	electrostatic potential energy	(42)
$\mathcal{W}$	total electromagnetic energy density	(48)
$\boldsymbol{S}$	Poynting vector	(48)
$\boldsymbol{D}$	electric displacement	(49)

Table 3. *Electromagnetic Waves*

3.1. Microscopic Maxwell's equations. The interaction of the laser pulse, which is an electromagnetic wave, and the medium is best described by Maxwell's microscopic equations. These are equations for the time evolution of the microscopic electric and magnetic fields $\boldsymbol{e}$ and $\boldsymbol{b}$ for given microscopic charge density ρ and microscopic electric current $\boldsymbol{j}$:

$$\operatorname{div}_{\boldsymbol{x}} \boldsymbol{e}(t,\boldsymbol{x}) = 4\pi\rho(t,\boldsymbol{x}), \qquad t\in\mathbb{R},\ \boldsymbol{x}\in\mathbb{R}^3, \tag{11a}$$

$$\operatorname{div}_{\boldsymbol{x}} \boldsymbol{b}(t,\boldsymbol{x}) = 0, \qquad t\in\mathbb{R},\ \boldsymbol{x}\in\mathbb{R}^3, \tag{11b}$$

$$\mathbf{curl}_{\boldsymbol{x}} \boldsymbol{e}(t,\boldsymbol{x}) = -\frac{1}{c}\partial_t \boldsymbol{b}(t,\boldsymbol{x}), \qquad t\in\mathbb{R},\ \boldsymbol{x}\in\mathbb{R}^3, \tag{11c}$$

$$\mathbf{curl}_{\boldsymbol{x}} \boldsymbol{b}(t,\boldsymbol{x}) = \frac{1}{c}\partial_t \boldsymbol{e}(t,\boldsymbol{x}) + \frac{4\pi}{c}\boldsymbol{j}(t,\boldsymbol{x}), \quad t\in\mathbb{R},\ \boldsymbol{x}\in\mathbb{R}^3. \tag{11d}$$

Remark 3.1:
If the initial data $\boldsymbol{e}(0,\cdot)$ and $\boldsymbol{b}(0,\cdot)$ are compatible (that is, they are satisfying the equations (11a) and (11b) at $t=0$) and the continuity equation,

$$\partial_t \rho(t,\boldsymbol{x}) + \operatorname{div}_{\boldsymbol{x}} \boldsymbol{j}(t,\boldsymbol{x}) = 0, \quad t \in \mathbb{R},\ \boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}^3, \tag{12}$$

holds, then the equations (11c) and (11d) imply (11a) and (11b).

To see this, take the divergence of the two vector equations (11c) and (11d). Then, using the identity $\operatorname{div}_{\boldsymbol{x}} \mathbf{curl}_{\boldsymbol{x}} \boldsymbol{f} = 0$ for every vector field $\boldsymbol{f}$, it follows with (12) that

$$\operatorname{div}_{\boldsymbol{x}} \partial_t \boldsymbol{b} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \operatorname{div}_{\boldsymbol{x}} \partial_t \boldsymbol{e} = -4\pi \operatorname{div}_{\boldsymbol{x}} \boldsymbol{j} = 4\pi \partial_t \rho.$$

Integrating these equations over time gives the equations (11a) and (11b).

To obtain a formula for the evolution of the charge density ρ and the electric current $\boldsymbol{j}$, we consider a medium which consists of

- N charged particles
- at the positions $\boldsymbol{x}_i : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^3$, $i = 1, \dots, N$, as functions of time
- with masses m_i and
- charge densities $q_i \rho_i$, where $q_i \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\rho_i \in C_{\mathrm{c}}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$ are non-negative functions with $\|\rho_i\|_{L^1} = 1$. Because these particles have physical radii smaller than $10^{-14}\,\mathrm{m}$, ρ_i can be considered an approximation of a δ-distribution.

Then, the charge density ρ and the electric current $\boldsymbol{j}$ are given by

$$\rho(t,\boldsymbol{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} q_i \rho_i(\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}_i(t)), \quad \text{and} \tag{13a}$$

$$\boldsymbol{j}(t,\boldsymbol{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} q_i \boldsymbol{x}_i'(t) \rho_i(\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}_i(t)). \tag{13b}$$

Clearly, they fulfil the continuity equation (12).

For the motion of the particles, we assume that they move according to the Lorentz force:

$$m_i \boldsymbol{x}_i''(t) = q_i \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \boldsymbol{e}(t,\boldsymbol{x}) \rho_i(\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}_i(t))\,\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x} + q_i \frac{\boldsymbol{x}_i'(t)}{c} \times \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \boldsymbol{b}(t,\boldsymbol{x}) \rho_i(\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}_i(t))\,\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x}. \tag{14}$$

The equations (11), (13), and (14) completely define the evolution of the medium starting from initial conditions $\boldsymbol{x}_i(0)$ and $\boldsymbol{x}_i'(0)$, $i = 1, \dots, N$ for the particles and $\boldsymbol{e}(0,\boldsymbol{x})$ and $\boldsymbol{b}(0,\boldsymbol{x})$, $\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}^3$, for the microscopic electromagnetic fields, see for example [25, Chapter 6].

3.2. Macroscopic Maxwell's equations. However, solving the huge system of equations (14) is practically impossible. Therefore, we average the fields with respect to the space variable by some non-negative weighting function $w \in C_{\mathrm{c}}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$ with support around 0 and $\|w\|_{L^1} = 1$:

$$\boldsymbol{E}(t,\boldsymbol{x}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} w(\boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{x}) \boldsymbol{e}(t,\boldsymbol{y})\,\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{y}, \qquad \boldsymbol{B}(t,\boldsymbol{x}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} w(\boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{x}) \boldsymbol{b}(t,\boldsymbol{y})\,\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{y},$$

$$\bar{\boldsymbol{j}}(t,\boldsymbol{x}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} w(\boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{x}) \boldsymbol{j}(t,\boldsymbol{y})\,\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{y}, \qquad \bar{\rho}(t,\boldsymbol{x}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} w(\boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{x}) \rho(t,\boldsymbol{y})\,\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{y}.$$

Then, taking the average of the equation system (11) with respect to the function w, we obtain Maxwell's macroscopic equations

$$\operatorname{div}_{\boldsymbol{x}} \boldsymbol{E}(t,\boldsymbol{x}) = 4\pi\bar{\rho}(t,\boldsymbol{x}), \qquad t\in\mathbb{R},\ \boldsymbol{x}\in\mathbb{R}^3, \tag{15a}$$

$$\operatorname{div}_{\boldsymbol{x}} \boldsymbol{B}(t,\boldsymbol{x}) = 0, \qquad t\in\mathbb{R},\ \boldsymbol{x}\in\mathbb{R}^3, \tag{15b}$$

$$\mathbf{curl}_{\boldsymbol{x}} \boldsymbol{E}(t,\boldsymbol{x}) = -\frac{1}{c}\partial_t \boldsymbol{B}(t,\boldsymbol{x}), \qquad t\in\mathbb{R},\ \boldsymbol{x}\in\mathbb{R}^3, \tag{15c}$$

$$\mathbf{curl}_{\boldsymbol{x}} \boldsymbol{B}(t,\boldsymbol{x}) = \frac{1}{c}\partial_t \boldsymbol{E}(t,\boldsymbol{x}) + \frac{4\pi}{c}\bar{\boldsymbol{j}}(t,\boldsymbol{x}), \qquad t\in\mathbb{R},\ \boldsymbol{x}\in\mathbb{R}^3, \tag{15d}$$

for the averaged electromagnetic fields $\boldsymbol{E}$ and $\boldsymbol{B}$ depending on the averaged charge density $\bar{\rho}$ and the averaged electric current $\bar{\boldsymbol{j}}$.

Remark 3.2:

Since the medium usually consists of molecules, most of the particles are clustered around the centers of these molecules. Let us relabel the particles $(\boldsymbol{x}_i)_{i=1}^N$ therefore as $((\boldsymbol{x}_{i,k})_{i=1}^{I_k})_{k=1}^K$ such that $\boldsymbol{x}_{i,k}$ is the i-th particle of the k-th cluster of particles, $i=1,\dots,I_k$, $k=1,\dots,K$, write $q_{i,k}$ for its electric charge, and let $\bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_k$ be the mass center of the k-th molecule.

Then, we introduce the zeroth order approximations $\tilde{\rho}$ and $\boldsymbol{J}$ of the charge density $\bar{\rho}$ and the electric current $\bar{\boldsymbol{j}}$, respectively, which are obtained from the averaged fields $\bar{\rho}$ and $\bar{\boldsymbol{j}}$ when the molecules are assumed to be point like, that is, setting $\boldsymbol{x}_{i,k} = \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_k$ for all i:

$$\tilde{\rho}(t,\boldsymbol{x}) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} w(\bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_k(t)-\boldsymbol{x}) \sum_{i=1}^{I_k} q_{i,k}, \tag{16a}$$

$$\boldsymbol{J}(t,\boldsymbol{x}) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_k'(t) w(\bar{\boldsymbol{x}}_k(t)-\boldsymbol{x}) \sum_{i=1}^{I_k} q_{i,k}. \tag{16b}$$

We note that $\tilde{\rho}$ and $\boldsymbol{J}$ also fulfil the continuity equation

$$\partial_t\tilde{\rho}(t,\boldsymbol{x}) + \operatorname{div}_x \boldsymbol{J}(t,\boldsymbol{x}) = 0. \tag{17}$$

We now write the deviation $\bar{\rho}-\tilde{\rho}$ of the averaged charge density from its zeroth order approximation $\tilde{\rho}$ as the divergence of some function $\boldsymbol{P}:\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^3\to\mathbb{R}^3$, the so-called electric polarisation:

$$\bar{\rho}(t,\boldsymbol{x}) = \tilde{\rho}(t,\boldsymbol{x}) - \operatorname{div}_{\boldsymbol{x}} \boldsymbol{P}(t,\boldsymbol{x}). \tag{18}$$

According to Helmholtz's theorem this is always possible, but defines $\boldsymbol{P}$ only up to the addition of a divergence-free vector field.

Then, we realise that we have with the continuity equations (12) and (17) and the definition (18)

$$\operatorname{div}_{\boldsymbol{x}}(\bar{\boldsymbol{j}} - \boldsymbol{J} - \partial_t\boldsymbol{P}) = -\partial_t(\bar{\rho}-\tilde{\rho}+\operatorname{div}_{\boldsymbol{x}}\boldsymbol{P}) = 0.$$

Doing the Helmholtz decomposition of the vector field $\bar{\boldsymbol{j}}-\boldsymbol{J}-\partial_t\boldsymbol{P}$, we therefore find a function $\boldsymbol{M}:\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^3\to\mathbb{R}^3$, the magnetic polarisation, (uniquely defined up to a gradient vector field) so that

$$\bar{\boldsymbol{j}}(t,\boldsymbol{x}) = \boldsymbol{J}(t,\boldsymbol{x}) + \partial_t\boldsymbol{P}(t,\boldsymbol{x}) + c\,\mathbf{curl}_{\boldsymbol{x}}\boldsymbol{M}(t,\boldsymbol{x}). \tag{19}$$

These decompositions (18) and (19) of $\bar{\rho}$ and $\bar{\boldsymbol{j}}$ into macroscopic ($\tilde{\rho}$ and $\boldsymbol{J}$) and polarisation ($\boldsymbol{P}$ and $\boldsymbol{M}$) parts are standard in the physics literature to formulate Maxwell's macroscopic equations, see for example [25, Chapter 6.6].

Clearly, the equation system (15) is not enough to determine the averaged fields $\boldsymbol{E}$ and $\boldsymbol{B}$, since we would still require a solution of the microscopic problem to obtain the averaged quantities $\bar{\rho}$ and $\bar{\boldsymbol{j}}$. The common way around this is to impose heuristic relations between these functions and the fields $\boldsymbol{E}$ and $\boldsymbol{B}$. A common choice for biological tissue is a linear relationship.

Definition 3.3.
We call a material where

$$\bar{\boldsymbol{j}}(t,\boldsymbol{x}) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mu(\tau,\boldsymbol{x})\boldsymbol{E}(t-\tau,\boldsymbol{x})\,\mathrm{d}\tau \tag{20}$$

for some function $\mu \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^3)$ with $\mu(\tau,\boldsymbol{x}) = 0$ for all $\tau < 0$, $\boldsymbol{x}\in\mathbb{R}^3$ a non-magnetic, isotropic, linear dielectric medium.

For a non-magnetic, isotropic, linear dielectric medium, we may solve the equation system (15) for given parameter μ for $\boldsymbol{E}$ and $\boldsymbol{B}$.

Remark 3.4:
In the standard notation introduced in Remark 3.2, see in particular (18) and (19), the assumptions corresponding to (20) are such that no magnetic polarisation exists in the medium, that is $\boldsymbol{M} = 0$, and that the macroscopic current $\boldsymbol{J}$ and the electric polarisation $\boldsymbol{P}$ are of the form

$$\begin{aligned}\boldsymbol{J}(t,\boldsymbol{x}) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \sigma(\tau,\boldsymbol{x})\boldsymbol{E}(t-\tau,\boldsymbol{x})\,\mathrm{d}\tau,\\ \boldsymbol{P}(t,\boldsymbol{x}) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \chi(\tau,\boldsymbol{x})\boldsymbol{E}(t-\tau,\boldsymbol{x})\,\mathrm{d}\tau,\end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

where $\sigma \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^3)$ is called the conductivity and $\chi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^3)$ is the electric susceptibility.

By (19), the relation between the function μ, defined in (20), and the pair σ and χ is simply

$$\mu(t,\boldsymbol{x}) = \sigma(t,\boldsymbol{x}) + \partial_t\chi(t,\boldsymbol{x}).$$

3.3. Problem formulation. Initially, the electromagnetic fields should describe a laser pulse which did not yet interact with the medium. Thus, we want to look for a solution $(\boldsymbol{E},\boldsymbol{B})$ which for $t<0$ is the superposition of a solution $(\boldsymbol{E}^{(0)},\boldsymbol{B}^{(0)})$ of the vacuum equations (that is a solution of (15) with $\bar{\rho}=0$ and $\bar{\boldsymbol{j}}=0$) with support outside the medium (that is outside the support of $\mu(\tau,\cdot)$ for every $\tau\in\mathbb{R}$) and a stationary solution $(\boldsymbol{E}_\mathrm{s},\boldsymbol{B}_\mathrm{s})$ produced by the undisturbed medium (we want to assume that without exterior influence, the electric and magnetic fields generated by the medium do not vary in time).

Proposition 3.5.
Let $\Omega\subset\mathbb{R}^3$ be an open set. For given functions $\rho_s \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and $\boldsymbol{j}_s \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3;\mathbb{R}^3)$ with $\operatorname{supp}\rho_s \subset \Omega$, $\operatorname{supp}\boldsymbol{j}_s\subset\Omega$, and $\operatorname{div}\boldsymbol{j}_s = 0$, we define the stationary electromagnetic fields $\boldsymbol{E}_s,\boldsymbol{B}_s\in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3;\mathbb{R}^3)$ by

$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname{div}\boldsymbol{E}_s(\boldsymbol{x}) &= 4\pi\rho_s(\boldsymbol{x}), & \operatorname{div}\boldsymbol{B}_s(\boldsymbol{x}) &= 0,\\ \mathbf{curl}\,\boldsymbol{E}_s(\boldsymbol{x}) &= 0, & \mathbf{curl}\,\boldsymbol{B}_s(\boldsymbol{x}) &= \frac{4\pi}{c}\boldsymbol{j}_s(\boldsymbol{x}).\end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

Moreover, let $\boldsymbol{E}^{(0)}$ *be a solution of the wave equation*

$$\frac{1}{c^2}\partial_{tt}\boldsymbol{E}^{(0)}(t,\boldsymbol{x}) = \Delta_{\boldsymbol{x}}\boldsymbol{E}^{(0)}(t,\boldsymbol{x}), \qquad t\in\mathbb{R},\ \boldsymbol{x}\in\mathbb{R}^3, \tag{23}$$

with $\operatorname{div}_x \boldsymbol{E}^{(0)} = 0$, $\boldsymbol{E}^{(0)}(\cdot,\boldsymbol{x}) \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ *for every* $x\in\mathbb{R}^3$, *and fulfilling that*

$$\operatorname{supp}\boldsymbol{E}^{(0)}(t,\cdot)\cap\Omega = \emptyset \text{ for every } t\leq 0; \tag{24}$$

Finally, let $\mu\in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^3)$ *fulfil* $\operatorname{supp}\mu(t,\cdot)\subset\Omega$ *for all* $t\in\mathbb{R}$, $\mu(t,\boldsymbol{x}) = 0$ *for all* $t\leq 0$, $\boldsymbol{x}\in\mathbb{R}^3$, *and*

$$\bar{\boldsymbol{j}}_s(\boldsymbol{x}) = \boldsymbol{E}_s(\boldsymbol{x})\int_0^\infty \mu(t,\boldsymbol{x})\,\mathrm{d}t \quad \text{for all} \quad \boldsymbol{x}\in\mathbb{R}^3. \tag{25}$$

Then, there exists a solution $\boldsymbol{E}$, $\boldsymbol{B}$ *of Maxwell's macroscopic equations* (15) *for a non-magnetic, isotropic, linear dielectric medium with the parameter* μ, *as in Definition 3.3, and with charge density* $\bar{\rho}(t,\boldsymbol{x}) = \rho_s(\boldsymbol{x}) - \int_{-\infty}^t \operatorname{div}_{\boldsymbol{x}}\bar{j}(\tau,\boldsymbol{x})\,\mathrm{d}\tau$ *such that we have for all* $t\leq 0$

$$\boldsymbol{E}(t,\boldsymbol{x}) = \boldsymbol{E}^{(0)}(t,\boldsymbol{x}) + \boldsymbol{E}_s(\boldsymbol{x}) \tag{26}$$

$$\boldsymbol{B}(t,\boldsymbol{x}) = -c\int_{-\infty}^t \mathbf{curl}_{\boldsymbol{x}}\,\boldsymbol{E}^{(0)}(\tau,\boldsymbol{x})\,\mathrm{d}\tau + \boldsymbol{B}_s(\boldsymbol{x}). \tag{27}$$

In particular, $\boldsymbol{E}$ *is the solution of the differential equation*

$$\frac{1}{c^2}\partial_{tt}\boldsymbol{E}(t,\boldsymbol{x}) + \mathbf{curl}_{\boldsymbol{x}}\,\mathbf{curl}_{\boldsymbol{x}}\,\boldsymbol{E}(t,\boldsymbol{x}) = -\frac{4\pi}{c^2}\int_{-\infty}^\infty \partial_t\mu(\tau,\boldsymbol{x})\boldsymbol{E}(t-\tau,\boldsymbol{x})\,\mathrm{d}\tau \tag{28}$$

together with the initial condition (26), *which is equivalent to the integral equation*

$$\boldsymbol{E}(t,\boldsymbol{x}) = \boldsymbol{E}^{(0)}(t,\boldsymbol{x}) + \boldsymbol{E}_s(\boldsymbol{x}) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\int_{-\infty}^{t-\frac{|\boldsymbol{y}|}{c}} \frac{1}{|\boldsymbol{y}|}\left(\mathbf{grad}_{\boldsymbol{x}}\operatorname{div}_{\boldsymbol{x}} - \frac{1}{c^2}\partial_{tt}\right)\bar{\boldsymbol{j}}(\tau,\boldsymbol{x}+\boldsymbol{y})\,\mathrm{d}\tau\,\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{y}, \tag{29}$$

where $\bar{\boldsymbol{j}}$ *is given by* (20).

Proof: We first check that (26) and (27) solve Maxwell's equations (15) for $t\leq 0$. We have directly by construction

$$\operatorname{div}_{\boldsymbol{x}}\boldsymbol{E}(t,\boldsymbol{x}) = 4\pi\rho_{\mathrm{s}}(\boldsymbol{x}) \quad \text{and} \quad \operatorname{div}_{\boldsymbol{x}}\boldsymbol{B}(t,\boldsymbol{x}) = 0,$$

which are the equations (15a) and (15b). Moreover, we have (15c), since

$$\partial_t\boldsymbol{B}(t,\boldsymbol{x}) = -c\,\mathbf{curl}_{\boldsymbol{x}}\,\boldsymbol{E}^{(0)}(t,\boldsymbol{x}) = -c\,\mathbf{curl}_{\boldsymbol{x}}\,\boldsymbol{E}(t,\boldsymbol{x}).$$

Finally, we get

$$\frac{1}{c}\partial_t\boldsymbol{E}(t,\boldsymbol{x}) = \frac{1}{c}\int_{-\infty}^t \partial_{tt}\boldsymbol{E}^{(0)}(\tau,\boldsymbol{x})\,\mathrm{d}\tau = c\int_{-\infty}^t \Delta_{\boldsymbol{x}}\boldsymbol{E}^{(0)}(\tau,\boldsymbol{x})\,\mathrm{d}\tau.$$

Since $\operatorname{div}_{\boldsymbol{x}}\boldsymbol{E}^{(0)} = 0$, we get with the identity $\mathbf{curl}_{\boldsymbol{x}}\,\mathbf{curl}_{\boldsymbol{x}}\,\boldsymbol{F} = \mathbf{grad}_{\boldsymbol{x}}\operatorname{div}_{\boldsymbol{x}}\boldsymbol{F} - \Delta_{\boldsymbol{x}}\boldsymbol{F}$ for every function $\boldsymbol{F}\in C^2(\mathbb{R}^3;\mathbb{R}^3)$ that

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{c}\partial_t\boldsymbol{E}(t,\boldsymbol{x}) &= -c\int_{-\infty}^t \mathbf{curl}_{\boldsymbol{x}}\,\mathbf{curl}_{\boldsymbol{x}}\,\boldsymbol{E}^{(0)}(\tau,\boldsymbol{x})\,\mathrm{d}\tau\\ &= \mathbf{curl}_{\boldsymbol{x}}\,\boldsymbol{B}(t,\boldsymbol{x}) - \mathbf{curl}_{\boldsymbol{x}}\,\boldsymbol{B}_{\mathrm{s}}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \mathbf{curl}_{\boldsymbol{x}}\,\boldsymbol{B}(t,\boldsymbol{x}) - \frac{4\pi}{c}\boldsymbol{j}_{\mathrm{s}}(\boldsymbol{x}).\end{aligned}$$

It remains to check that the current $\bar{\boldsymbol{j}}$, defined by relation (20), indeed fulfils $\bar{\boldsymbol{j}}(t,x) = \boldsymbol{j}_{\mathrm{s}}(\boldsymbol{x})$ for $t \leq 0$. Using that $\mu(t,\boldsymbol{x}) = 0$ for $t \leq 0$, condition (24), and (25), we find

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mu(t-\tau,\boldsymbol{x})\boldsymbol{E}(\tau,\boldsymbol{x})\,\mathrm{d}\tau &= \int_{-\infty}^{0} \mu(t-\tau,\boldsymbol{x})(\boldsymbol{E}^{(0)}(t,\boldsymbol{x}) + \boldsymbol{E}_{\mathrm{s}}(\boldsymbol{x}))\,\mathrm{d}\tau \\ &= \boldsymbol{E}_{\mathrm{s}}(\boldsymbol{x}) \int_{-\infty}^{0} \mu(t-\tau,\boldsymbol{x})\,\mathrm{d}\tau = \boldsymbol{j}_{\mathrm{s}}(\boldsymbol{x}).\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have shown that (26) and (27) solve Maxwell's equations (15) for $t \leq 0$.

Combining Maxwell's macroscopic equations (15c) and (15d), it follows that $\boldsymbol{E}$ in particular solves the equation

$$\frac{1}{c^2}\partial_{tt}\boldsymbol{E}(t,\boldsymbol{x}) + \mathbf{curl}_{\boldsymbol{x}}\,\mathbf{curl}_{\boldsymbol{x}}\,\boldsymbol{E}(t,\boldsymbol{x}) = -\frac{4\pi}{c^2}\partial_t\bar{\boldsymbol{j}}(t,\boldsymbol{x}) \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{R}, x \in \mathbb{R}^3.$$

To derive the integral equation, we use that $\boldsymbol{E} - \boldsymbol{E}_{\mathrm{s}}$, $\boldsymbol{B} - \boldsymbol{B}_{\mathrm{s}}$ is a solution of (15) with $\bar{\rho}$ replaced by $\bar{\rho} - \rho_{\mathrm{s}}$ and $\bar{\boldsymbol{j}}$ by $\bar{\boldsymbol{j}} - \boldsymbol{j}_{\mathrm{s}}$. Therefore, according to the representation (59) of the solution derived in Proposition A.1, we find for $\boldsymbol{E}$ that

$$\begin{aligned}\boldsymbol{E}(t,\boldsymbol{x}) = \boldsymbol{E}_{\mathrm{s}}(\boldsymbol{x}) + \boldsymbol{E}^{(0)}(t,\boldsymbol{x}) - \frac{1}{c^2 t}\int_{\partial B_{ct}(\boldsymbol{x})} (\bar{\boldsymbol{j}}(0,\boldsymbol{y}) - \boldsymbol{j}_{\mathrm{s}}(\boldsymbol{y}))\,\mathrm{d}s(\boldsymbol{y}) \\ - \int_{B_{ct}(0)} \frac{1}{|\boldsymbol{y}|}\left(\mathbf{grad}_{\boldsymbol{x}}\,\bar{\rho}(t - \tfrac{|\boldsymbol{y}|}{c}, \boldsymbol{x}+\boldsymbol{y}) - \mathbf{grad}\,\rho_{\mathrm{s}}(\boldsymbol{x}+\boldsymbol{y}) + \frac{1}{c^2}\partial_t\bar{\boldsymbol{j}}(t - \tfrac{|\boldsymbol{y}|}{c}, \boldsymbol{x}+\boldsymbol{y})\right)\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{y}.\end{aligned}$$

Using that $\bar{\boldsymbol{j}}(t,\boldsymbol{x}) = \boldsymbol{j}_{\mathrm{s}}(\boldsymbol{x})$ for $t \leq 0$ and $\bar{\rho}(t,\boldsymbol{x}) = \rho_{\mathrm{s}}(\boldsymbol{x}) - \int_{-\infty}^{t} \mathrm{div}_{\boldsymbol{x}}\,\bar{j}(\tau,\boldsymbol{x})\,\mathrm{d}\tau$, we find that

$$\begin{aligned}\boldsymbol{E}(t,\boldsymbol{x}) - \boldsymbol{E}_{\mathrm{s}}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \boldsymbol{E}^{(0)}(t,\boldsymbol{x}) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\int_{-\infty}^{t-\frac{|\boldsymbol{y}|}{c}} \frac{1}{|\boldsymbol{y}|}\,\mathbf{grad}_{\boldsymbol{x}}\,\mathrm{div}_{\boldsymbol{x}}\,\bar{\boldsymbol{j}}(\tau,\boldsymbol{x}+\boldsymbol{y})\,\mathrm{d}\tau\,\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{y} \\ - \frac{1}{c^2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{|\boldsymbol{y}|}\partial_t\bar{\boldsymbol{j}}(t - \tfrac{|\boldsymbol{y}|}{c}, \boldsymbol{x}+\boldsymbol{y})\,\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{y}.\end{aligned}$$

□

3.4. Measurements. To simplify the calculations, we assume that we the medium satisfies $\boldsymbol{E}_{\mathrm{s}} = 0$ and $\boldsymbol{B}_{\mathrm{s}} = 0$ in a domain Ω.

Next, we want to model the measurements of optical coherence tomography. We consider illuminating waves of the form

$$\boldsymbol{E}^{(0)}(t,\boldsymbol{x}) = f(t + \tfrac{x_3}{c})\boldsymbol{\eta} \tag{30}$$

with a function $f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ and a fixed polarisation vector $\boldsymbol{\eta} \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times \{0\}$, where we choose f such that $\boldsymbol{E}^{(0)}$ fulfils the condition (24).

Moreover, we place point detectors everywhere on the detector surface

$$\mathcal{D} = \mathbb{R}^2 \times \{d\} \tag{31}$$

with $d > 0$ sufficiently large, in particular, we require that $\boldsymbol{E}^{(0)}(t,\boldsymbol{\xi}) = 0$ for $t \geq 0$ and $\boldsymbol{\xi} \in \mathcal{D}$. For more details, we refer to [14, Equation (29)].

Then, the reference wave $\boldsymbol{E}^z$ produced by reflecting this incoming wave at a perfect mirror placed in the plane given by the equation $x_3 = z$ is given by

$$\boldsymbol{E}^z(t,\boldsymbol{x}) = \begin{cases} \big(f(t+\frac{x_3}{c}) - f(t+\frac{x_3}{c}+2\,\frac{z-x_3}{c})\big)\boldsymbol{\eta} & \text{if } x_3 > z, \\ 0 & \text{if } x_3 \leq z. \end{cases} \tag{32}$$

The measurements done in optical coherence tomography are now the intensities

$$I_j(z,\boldsymbol{\xi}) = \int_0^\infty |E_j(t,\boldsymbol{\xi}) + E_j^z(t,\boldsymbol{\xi})|^2\,\mathrm{d}t, \quad \boldsymbol{\xi} \in \mathcal{D},\ j \in \{1,2,3\}, \tag{33}$$

on the detector surface $\mathcal{D}$.

We combine these measurements to

$$\tilde{I}_j(z,\boldsymbol{\xi}) = \frac{1}{2}\left(I_j(z,\boldsymbol{\xi}) - \int_0^\infty |E_j(t,\boldsymbol{\xi})|^2\,\mathrm{d}t - \int_0^\infty |E_j^z(t,\boldsymbol{\xi})|^2\,\mathrm{d}t\right), \tag{34}$$

where the second term can be obtained by measuring the back-scattered wave without superimposing the reflected wave $\boldsymbol{E}^z$, and the last term is explicitly known from the initial laser pulse $\boldsymbol{E}^{(0)}$. Equation (34) results to

$$\tilde{I}_j(z,\boldsymbol{x}) = \int_0^\infty E_j(t,\boldsymbol{x})E_j^z(t,\boldsymbol{x})\,\mathrm{d}t.$$

Since we have by our choice of measurement setup that $\boldsymbol{E}(t,\boldsymbol{x}) = \boldsymbol{E}^{(0)}(t,\boldsymbol{x})$ for $t \leq 0$ and $\boldsymbol{E}^{(0)}(t,\boldsymbol{\xi}) = 0$ for $t > 0$ and $\boldsymbol{\xi} \in \mathcal{D}$, we can write this in the form

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{I}_j(z,\boldsymbol{\xi}) &= \int_0^\infty (E_j - E_j^{(0)})(t,\boldsymbol{\xi})(E_j^z - E_j^{(0)})(t,\boldsymbol{\xi})\,\mathrm{d}t \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^\infty (E_j - E_j^{(0)})(t,\boldsymbol{\xi})(E_j^z - E_j^{(0)})(t,\boldsymbol{\xi})\,\mathrm{d}t.\end{aligned}$$

Inserting the explicit formula (32) for the reflected wave $\boldsymbol{E}^z$, we get

$$\tilde{I}_j(z,\boldsymbol{\xi}) = -\eta_j \int_{-\infty}^\infty (E_j - E_j^{(0)})(t,\boldsymbol{\xi}) f(t+\tfrac{2z-\boldsymbol{\xi}_3}{c})\,\mathrm{d}t. \tag{35}$$

We use the convention

$$\mathcal{F}F(\omega) = \int_{-\infty}^\infty F(t)\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\omega t}\,\mathrm{d}t \tag{36}$$

for the Fourier transform of an integrable function $F \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ with respect to time, and we put a subindex at $\mathcal{F}$ if we need to specify the variable with respect to which we do the Fourier transform.

Taking the inverse Fourier transform with respect to z in (35) and using Plancherel's formula we get

$$\frac{2}{c}\int_{-\infty}^\infty \tilde{I}_j(z,\boldsymbol{\xi})\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\frac{\omega}{c}(2z-\boldsymbol{\xi}_3)}\,\mathrm{d}z = -\eta_j(\mathcal{F}_t E_j - \mathcal{F}_t E_j^{(0)})(\omega,\boldsymbol{\xi})\mathcal{F}f(-\omega).$$

Provided that the Fourier transform of the function f is nowhere zero and that the polarisation $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ fulfils $\eta_1 \neq 0$ and $\eta_2 \neq 0$, we obtain from this the data

$$\boldsymbol{h}(t,\boldsymbol{\xi}) = \begin{pmatrix} E_1(t,\boldsymbol{\xi}) \\ E_2(t,\boldsymbol{\xi}) \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\boldsymbol{h}$ can be directly calculated from the measurements $\tilde{I}_j$ and the knowledge of the initial wave $\boldsymbol{E}^{(0)}$:

$$\mathcal{F}_t h_j(\omega,\boldsymbol{\xi}) = \mathcal{F}_t E_j^{(0)}(\omega,\boldsymbol{\xi}) - \frac{2}{c\eta_j \mathcal{F}f(-\omega)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \tilde{I}_j(z,\boldsymbol{\xi}) \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\frac{\omega}{c}(2z-\boldsymbol{\xi}_3)}\,\mathrm{d}z. \tag{37}$$

The inverse problem of optical coherence tomography is now to find the material parameter $\mu : \mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}$ from the function $\boldsymbol{h} : \mathbb{R}\times\mathcal{D} \to \mathbb{R}^2$ according to the system of equations

$$\boxed{\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{c^2}\partial_{tt}\boldsymbol{E}(t,\boldsymbol{x}) + \mathbf{curl}_{\boldsymbol{x}}\,\mathbf{curl}_{\boldsymbol{x}}\,\boldsymbol{E}(t,\boldsymbol{x}) &= -\frac{4\pi}{c^2}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \partial_t\mu(\tau,\boldsymbol{x})\boldsymbol{E}(t-\tau,\boldsymbol{x})\,\mathrm{d}\tau, && t>0,\ \boldsymbol{x}\in\mathbb{R}^3,\\ \boldsymbol{E}(t,\boldsymbol{x}) &= f(t+\tfrac{\boldsymbol{x}_3}{c})\boldsymbol{\eta} && t\le 0,\ \boldsymbol{x}\in\mathbb{R}^3,\\ \boldsymbol{h}(t,\boldsymbol{\xi}) &= \begin{pmatrix} E_1(t,\boldsymbol{\xi}) \\ E_2(t,\boldsymbol{\xi}) \end{pmatrix}, && t>0,\ \boldsymbol{\xi}\in\mathcal{D}. \end{aligned}} \tag{38}$$

At first, it seems that we have enough data to solve this problem: we have the three dimensional function $\boldsymbol{h}$ for every choice of function f and polarisation $\boldsymbol{\eta}$. However, since the problem is linear in the electric field $\boldsymbol{E}$, we do not gain any information by changing f or having more than two linearly independent polarisations $\boldsymbol{\eta}$. To see this, we perform a Fourier transform $\mathcal{F}$ with respect to time.

Lemma 3.6.
Let $\mu : \mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}$ be given, $\boldsymbol{\eta}^{(k)} \in S^1\times\{0\} \subset \mathbb{R}^2\times\{0\}$, $k=1,2,3$, be so that the first two are linearly independent and let $f^{(k)}$ be sufficiently regular functions so that the first two functions $f^{(k)}$ have a non-vanishing Fourier transform:

$$\mathcal{F}f^{(k)}(\omega) \neq 0 \quad \textit{for every} \quad \omega\in\mathbb{R},\ k\in\{1,2\}.$$

Moreover, let $\boldsymbol{E}^{(k)}$, $k=1,2,3$, be the solutions of

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{c^2}\partial_{tt}\boldsymbol{E}^{(k)}(t,\boldsymbol{x}) + \mathbf{curl}_{\boldsymbol{x}}\,\mathbf{curl}_{\boldsymbol{x}}\,\boldsymbol{E}^{(k)}(t,\boldsymbol{x}) &= -\frac{4\pi}{c^2}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \partial_t\mu(\tau,\boldsymbol{x})\boldsymbol{E}^{(k)}(t-\tau,\boldsymbol{x})\,\mathrm{d}\tau, && t>0,\ \boldsymbol{x}\in\mathbb{R}^3,\\ \boldsymbol{E}^{(k)}(t,\boldsymbol{x}) &= f^{(k)}(t+\tfrac{\boldsymbol{x}_3}{c})\boldsymbol{\eta}^{(k)}, && t\le 0,\ \boldsymbol{x}\in\mathbb{R}^3. \end{aligned}$$

Then, the measurement data

$$\boldsymbol{h}^{(k)}(t,\boldsymbol{\xi}) = \begin{pmatrix} E_1^{(k)}(t,\boldsymbol{\xi}) \\ E_2^{(k)}(t,\boldsymbol{\xi}) \end{pmatrix}, \quad t>0,\ \boldsymbol{\xi}\in\mathcal{D}. \tag{39}$$

fulfil the relation

$$\boxed{\mathcal{F}_t\boldsymbol{h}^{(3)}(\omega,\boldsymbol{\xi}) = \sum_{k=1}^{2} c_k \frac{\mathcal{F}f^{(3)}(\omega)}{\mathcal{F}f^{(k)}(\omega)} \mathcal{F}_t\boldsymbol{h}^{(k)}(\omega,\boldsymbol{\xi}), \quad \omega\in\mathbb{R},\ \boldsymbol{\xi}\in\mathcal{D},} \tag{40}$$

where the coefficients $c_k\in\mathbb{R}$ are determined by $\boldsymbol{\eta}^{(3)} = \sum_{k=1}^{2} c_k\boldsymbol{\eta}^{(k)}$.

Proof: As in Proposition 3.5, we consider the equivalent integral equation (29) for $\boldsymbol{E}_s = 0$

$$\boldsymbol{E}^{(k)}(t,\boldsymbol{x}) = f^{(k)}(t+\tfrac{x_3}{c})\boldsymbol{\eta}^{(k)} - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\int_{-\infty}^{t-\frac{|\boldsymbol{y}|}{c}} \frac{1}{|\boldsymbol{y}|}\left(\mathbf{grad}_{\boldsymbol{x}}\operatorname{div}_{\boldsymbol{x}} - \frac{1}{c^2}\partial_{tt}\right)\vec{\boldsymbol{j}}^{(k)}(\tau,\boldsymbol{x}+\boldsymbol{y})\,\mathrm{d}\tau\,\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{y}.$$

Applying a Fourier transform with respect to time to the above equation and using (20) in the frequency domain we get that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}_t\boldsymbol{E}^{(k)}(\omega,\boldsymbol{x}) &= \mathcal{F}f^{(k)}(\omega)\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\frac{\omega}{c}x_3}\boldsymbol{\eta}^{(k)} \\ &\quad + \left(\mathbf{grad}_{\boldsymbol{x}}\operatorname{div}_{\boldsymbol{x}} + \frac{\omega^2}{c^2}\right)\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{\mathrm{i}\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\frac{\omega}{c}|\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{y}|}}{\omega|\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{y}|}\mathcal{F}_t\mu(\omega,\boldsymbol{y})\mathcal{F}_t\boldsymbol{E}^{(k)}(\omega,\boldsymbol{y})\,\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{y}. \qquad (41)\end{aligned}$$

Now, the linearity of this equation implies that the solution $\mathcal{F}_t\boldsymbol{E}^{(3)}$ of the third equation can be written in the form

$$\mathcal{F}_t\boldsymbol{E}^{(3)}(\omega,\boldsymbol{x}) = \sum_{k=1}^{2} c_k\frac{\mathcal{F}f^{(3)}(\omega)}{\mathcal{F}f^{(k)}(\omega)}\mathcal{F}_t\boldsymbol{E}^{(k)}(\omega,\boldsymbol{x}).$$

Restricting this relation to the detector surface $\mathcal{D}$, we get (40). □

In particular, even if one considers the assumptions of Born and far field approximations (specified later), we see that the measurements are equivalent to the determination of the four dimensional Fourier transform of the function μ on a cone in $\mathbb{R}^3$, see [14, Proposition 5.2]. Thus, also for OCT, we do not have sufficient data to uniquely recover the material parameter μ.

However, under certain simplifications there exist works that provide reconstructions. A main assumption is that the medium is non-dispersive, meaning that the temporal Fourier transform of μ does not depend on frequency. Under this assumption, Mark *et al* [35] proposed algorithms under the Born approximation, and in [20, 24] the one dimensional case was considered. Also in [40, 41] the the OCT system is described using a single backscattering model.

4. Combined System

We have seen that the inverse problems of quantitative photoacoustic imaging and OCT both lack data to obtain a unique reconstruction of the material parameters depending on the specific modeling. Since the illumination for photoacoustic imaging and OCT are modeled analogously, the rely on the same electromagnetic material parameters of the medium. Therefore, we are suggesting to use the two measurements in combination to obtain additional informations.

To this end, we want to rewrite the optical problem in photoacoustics in terms of the material parameter μ (20) used in Maxwell's equations for the modelling of OCT instead of the absorption and scattering coefficients appearing in the radiative transfer equation. Thus, we need an expression of the absorbed energy of an electromagnetic wave in an dielectric medium.

Below we summarize the variables, which are used throughout this section, as a backup reference:

Symbol	Quantity	Definition
$\boldsymbol{\eta}$	incident polarization vector	(30)
$\boldsymbol{E}^{(0)}$	incident field	(30)
$\mathcal{D}$	detector's array in OCT	(31)

$\boldsymbol{E}^z$	reflected field in OCT	(32)
I_j	measured intensity in OCT	(33)
$\tilde{I}_j$	effective measured intensity in OCT	(34)
$\boldsymbol{h}$	OCT measurements	(37)
$p^{(0)}$	PAT measurements	(??)
$\tilde{h}$	modified OCT measurements	(54)
$\tilde{p}$	modified PAT measurements	(57)

TABLE 4. *Combined PAT-OCT System*

4.1. Absorbed energy. We therefore start again with Maxwell's microscopic equations (11), (13) and (14) to describe the medium and define the averaged mechanical energy as the sum of the kinetic energies of the particles and their electrostatic potential energy:

$$\mathcal{E}(t,\boldsymbol{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{m_i}{2} |\boldsymbol{x}_i'(t)|^2 w(\boldsymbol{x}_i(t)-\boldsymbol{x}) + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i\neq j} \frac{q_i q_j}{|\boldsymbol{x}_i(t)-\boldsymbol{x}_j(t)|} w(\boldsymbol{x}_i(t)-\boldsymbol{x}) w(\boldsymbol{x}_j(t)-\boldsymbol{x})\,. \tag{42}$$

Assuming now that no particles enter the support of $w(\cdot-\boldsymbol{x})$ or leave the region where w is constant, the change in energy is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_t \mathcal{E}(t,\boldsymbol{x}) &= \sum_{i=1}^{N} m_i \left\langle \boldsymbol{x}_i'(t), \boldsymbol{x}_i''(t)\right\rangle w(\boldsymbol{x}_i(t)-\boldsymbol{x}) \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i\neq j} q_i q_j \frac{\left\langle \boldsymbol{x}_i(t)-\boldsymbol{x}_j(t), \boldsymbol{x}_i'(t)-\boldsymbol{x}_j'(t)\right\rangle}{|\boldsymbol{x}_i(t)-\boldsymbol{x}_j(t)|^3} w(\boldsymbol{x}_i(t)-\boldsymbol{x}) w(\boldsymbol{x}_j(t)-\boldsymbol{x}) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{N} m_i \left\langle \boldsymbol{x}_i'(t), \boldsymbol{x}_i''(t)\right\rangle w(\boldsymbol{x}_i(t)-\boldsymbol{x}) \\
&\quad - \sum_{i\neq j} q_i q_j \frac{\left\langle \boldsymbol{x}_i(t)-\boldsymbol{x}_j(t), \boldsymbol{x}_i'(t)\right\rangle}{|\boldsymbol{x}_i(t)-\boldsymbol{x}_j(t)|^3} w(\boldsymbol{x}_i(t)-\boldsymbol{x}) w(\boldsymbol{x}_j(t)-\boldsymbol{x}).
\end{aligned} \tag{43}$$

We consider the limit when the charge distributions ρ_i of the single particles tend to δ-distributions, then the Lorentz force (14) is given by

$$m_i \boldsymbol{x}_i''(t) = q_i \boldsymbol{e}(t,\boldsymbol{x}_i(t)) + q_i \frac{\boldsymbol{x}_i'(t)}{c} \times \boldsymbol{b}(t,\boldsymbol{x}_i(t)) = q_i \boldsymbol{e}(t,\boldsymbol{x}_i(t)) + q_i \frac{\boldsymbol{x}_i'(t)}{c}\,,$$

where the last identity is due to the fact that $\frac{\boldsymbol{x}_i'}{c} \times \boldsymbol{b}$ is orthogonal to $\boldsymbol{x}_i'$.
Using this identity (43) we find that

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_t \mathcal{E}(t,\boldsymbol{x}) &= \sum_{i=1}^{N} q_i \left\langle \boldsymbol{x}_i'(t), \boldsymbol{e}(t,\boldsymbol{x}_i(t))\right\rangle w(\boldsymbol{x}_i(t)-\boldsymbol{x}) \\
&\quad - \sum_{i\neq j} q_i q_j \frac{\left\langle \boldsymbol{x}_i(t)-\boldsymbol{x}_j(t), \boldsymbol{x}_i'(t)\right\rangle}{|\boldsymbol{x}_i(t)-\boldsymbol{x}_j(t)|^3} w(\boldsymbol{x}_i(t)-\boldsymbol{x}) w(\boldsymbol{x}_j(t)-\boldsymbol{x}).
\end{aligned}$$

We further assume that the particles are moving relatively slow so that we may approximate

the electric field $\boldsymbol{e}$ by the electrostatic approximation, which can be represented via (60) by neglecting the contribution of the current $\boldsymbol{j}$:

$$\begin{aligned}\boldsymbol{e}(t,\boldsymbol{x}) &\approx \boldsymbol{e}^{(0)}(t,\boldsymbol{x}) - \int_{B_{ct}(0)} \frac{1}{|\boldsymbol{y}|}\,\mathbf{grad}_{\boldsymbol{x}}\,\rho(t,\boldsymbol{x}+\boldsymbol{y})\,\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{y}\\ &\approx \boldsymbol{e}^{(0)}(t,\boldsymbol{x}) - \sum_{j=1}^{N} q_j \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{|\boldsymbol{y}|}\,\mathbf{grad}_{\boldsymbol{x}}\,\delta(\boldsymbol{x}+\boldsymbol{y}-\boldsymbol{x}_j(t))\,\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{y}\\ &\approx \boldsymbol{e}^{(0)}(t,\boldsymbol{x}) + \sum_{j=1}^{N} q_j \frac{\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{x}_j(t)}{|\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{x}_j(t)|^3}.\end{aligned}$$

where $\boldsymbol{e}^{(0)}$ denotes the solution of the homogeneous wave equation with initial data $\boldsymbol{e}^{(0)}(0,\boldsymbol{x}) = \boldsymbol{e}(0,\boldsymbol{x})$ and $\partial_t \boldsymbol{e}^{(0)}(0,\boldsymbol{x}) = \mathbf{curl}_{\boldsymbol{x}}\,\boldsymbol{b}(0,\boldsymbol{x})$. Here, we have used that for t sufficiently large, $B_{ct}(0)$ will contain the complete medium and (13a) where ρ_i is replaced by a δ-distribution.

Then, we can write the change in energy in the form

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t \mathcal{E}(t,\boldsymbol{x}) \approx{}& \sum_{i=1}^{N} q_i \left\langle \boldsymbol{x}_i'(t), \boldsymbol{e}^{(0)}(t,\boldsymbol{x}_i(t))\right\rangle w(\boldsymbol{x}_i(t)-\boldsymbol{x})\\ &+ \sum_{i\neq j} q_i q_j \frac{\langle \boldsymbol{x}_i(t)-\boldsymbol{x}_j(t), \boldsymbol{x}_i'(t)\rangle}{|\boldsymbol{x}_i(t)-\boldsymbol{x}_j(t)|^3} w(\boldsymbol{x}_i(t)-\boldsymbol{x})(1-w(\boldsymbol{x}_j(t)-\boldsymbol{x})).\end{aligned} \tag{44}$$

Until now, we had no particular assumptions on the size of the support of w. We have seen that the particles have diameter of order $10^{-14}\,\mathrm{m}$. The size of molecules in biological tissue is of order $10^{-10}\,\mathrm{m}$, a water molecule for example has a diameter of $0.3\,\mathrm{nm}$. Thus, we specify r to be of order $10^{-10}\,\mathrm{m}$. In what follows we assume in addition to $w \in C_{\mathrm{c}}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ that

$$w \equiv \text{const in } B_r(0) \text{ and } w \equiv 0 \text{ outside } B_{r+\varepsilon}(0)\,. \tag{45}$$

Practically, the typical wavelength of photoacoustic imaging and OCT is around $1000\,\mathrm{nm}$, which is three orders of magnitude larger than the size of molecules. Then, a ball with radius $\tilde{r}$ of order $10^{-6}\,\mathrm{m}$ will denote the resolution limitation and we can assume that $\tilde{r} \gg r$.

For the averaging here, we assume that $\boldsymbol{e}^{(0)}$ is almost constant on the support of $w(\cdot-\boldsymbol{x})$. Then, we may approximate

$$\boldsymbol{e}^{(0)}(t,\boldsymbol{x}_i(t)) \approx \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \boldsymbol{e}^{(0)}(t,\boldsymbol{y}) w(\boldsymbol{y}-\boldsymbol{x})\,\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{y}, \quad \boldsymbol{x}_i(t) \in B_r(\boldsymbol{x})\,. \tag{46}$$

The second term in (44) is nonzero only when $\boldsymbol{x}_i \in B_r(\boldsymbol{x})$ and $\boldsymbol{x}_j \notin B_r(\boldsymbol{x})$. We want to assume that locally (related to resolution limit) there is almost no energy exchange:

$$\sum_{i\neq j} q_i q_j \frac{\langle \boldsymbol{x}_i(t)-\boldsymbol{x}_j(t), \boldsymbol{x}_i'(t)\rangle}{|\boldsymbol{x}_i(t)-\boldsymbol{x}_j(t)|^3} w(\boldsymbol{x}_i(t)-\boldsymbol{x})(1-w(\boldsymbol{x}_j(t)-\boldsymbol{x})) \approx 0, \quad \boldsymbol{x}_j(t) \in B_{\tilde{r}}(\boldsymbol{x}) \setminus B_r(\boldsymbol{x}).$$

For the case, where $\boldsymbol{x}_i \in B_r(\boldsymbol{x})$ and $\boldsymbol{x}_j \notin B_{\tilde{r}}(\boldsymbol{x})$, since $\tilde{r} \gg r$, we can approximate $\boldsymbol{x}_i - \boldsymbol{x}_j \simeq \boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{x}_j$,

for every $\boldsymbol{y} \in B_r(\boldsymbol{x})$ and we thus obtain

$$\partial_t \mathcal{E}(t,\boldsymbol{x}) \approx \left\langle \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \boldsymbol{e}^{(0)}(t,\boldsymbol{y}) w(\boldsymbol{y}-\boldsymbol{x})\,\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{y}, \sum_{i=1}^{N} q_i \boldsymbol{x}_i'(t) w(\boldsymbol{x}_i(t)-\boldsymbol{x}) \right\rangle + \left\langle \sum_{j=1}^{N} q_j \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{\boldsymbol{y}-\boldsymbol{x}_j(t)}{|\boldsymbol{y}-\boldsymbol{x}_j(t)|^3} w(\boldsymbol{y}-\boldsymbol{x})\,\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{y}(1-w(\boldsymbol{x}_j(t)-\boldsymbol{x})), \sum_{i=1}^{N} q_i \boldsymbol{x}_i'(t) w(\boldsymbol{x}_i(t)-\boldsymbol{x}) \right\rangle .$$

Now, since the support of w is small compared to the medium, we may take in the second term the sum over all particles $\boldsymbol{x}_j$ without introducing a large error and find that

$$\partial_t \mathcal{E}(t,\boldsymbol{x}) \approx \left\langle \boldsymbol{E}(t,\boldsymbol{x}), \bar{\boldsymbol{j}}(t,\boldsymbol{x}) \right\rangle .$$

Thus, in a non-magnetic, isotropic, linear dielectric, we have that the total absorbed energy is given by

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \mathcal{E}(t,\boldsymbol{x}) - \lim_{t\to-\infty} \mathcal{E}(t,\boldsymbol{x}) \approx \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mu(\tau,\boldsymbol{x}) \left\langle \boldsymbol{E}(t,\boldsymbol{x}), \boldsymbol{E}(t-\tau,\boldsymbol{x}) \right\rangle \mathrm{d}\tau\,\mathrm{d}t. \tag{47}$$

Remark 4.1:
A different derivation of the absorbed energy follows from the definition of the total electromagnetic energy density and the energy transport of the electromagnetic wave (Poynting vector) as follows:

$$\mathcal{W} = \frac{1}{8\pi}(\langle \boldsymbol{E}, \boldsymbol{D}\rangle + \langle \boldsymbol{B}, \boldsymbol{B}\rangle), \quad \textit{and} \quad \boldsymbol{S} = \frac{c}{4\pi}(\boldsymbol{E}\times\boldsymbol{B}), \tag{48}$$

respectively. Here,

$$\boldsymbol{D} = \boldsymbol{E} + 4\pi\boldsymbol{P} \tag{49}$$

denotes the electric displacement. Using the elementary relation $\mathrm{div}_{\boldsymbol{x}}(\boldsymbol{F}\times\boldsymbol{G}) = \boldsymbol{G}\cdot\mathbf{curl}_{\boldsymbol{x}}\boldsymbol{F} - \boldsymbol{F}\cdot\mathbf{curl}_{\boldsymbol{x}}\boldsymbol{G}$*, the Maxwell's equations (15c) and (15d) it follows that*

$$\mathrm{div}_{\boldsymbol{x}}\boldsymbol{S} = -\frac{1}{4\pi}\left(\langle \boldsymbol{B}, \partial_t\boldsymbol{B}\rangle + \langle \boldsymbol{E}, \partial_t\boldsymbol{E}\rangle\right) - \langle \boldsymbol{E}, \bar{\boldsymbol{j}}\rangle.$$

Then, from the definition of $\mathcal{W}$*, integrating the above equation over a subvolume* Ω *of the medium and applying the divergence theorem gives*

$$\begin{aligned} -\partial_t \int_\Omega \mathcal{W}(t,\boldsymbol{x})\,\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x} = \int_{\partial\Omega} \langle \boldsymbol{S}(t,\boldsymbol{x}), \boldsymbol{N}\rangle\,\mathrm{d}s(\boldsymbol{x}) + \int_\Omega \langle \boldsymbol{E}(t,\boldsymbol{x}), \bar{\boldsymbol{j}}(t,\boldsymbol{x})\rangle\,\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x} \\ - \frac{1}{2}\int_\Omega \partial_t \langle \boldsymbol{E}(t,\boldsymbol{x}), \boldsymbol{P}(t,\boldsymbol{x})\rangle\,\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x} \end{aligned} \tag{50}$$

where $\boldsymbol{N}$ *is the outward pointing unit normal vector.*

Equation (50) describes the balance of energy: By the definition of $\mathcal{W}$*, the left hand side quantifies the variation of the total energy in the volume. The first term on the right hand side describes the variation of the energy across the boundary, and the last two terms describe the dissipated power. According to [25], the integrands of the last two terms in the right hand side integrated over time denotes the electric energy density, which reads as follows*

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \langle \boldsymbol{E}(t,\boldsymbol{x}), \bar{\boldsymbol{j}}(t,\boldsymbol{x})\rangle\,\mathrm{d}t = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mu(\tau,x)\langle \boldsymbol{E}(t,\boldsymbol{x}), \boldsymbol{E}(t-\tau,\boldsymbol{x})\rangle\,\mathrm{d}\tau\,\mathrm{d}t\,,$$

resulting again in (47), if we assume that the polarization goes to zero after a long time.

4.2. Inverse problem. Using the expression (47) for the absorbed energy as a replacement of $\mu_{\mathrm{a}}(\boldsymbol{x})\bar{\Phi}(\boldsymbol{x})$ in (6), we get by combining (6) and (38) the inverse problem of calculating μ and γ from the measurement data $p^{(0)}$ and h for giving initial pulse f and polarisation $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ obeying the equation system

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{c^2}\partial_{tt}\boldsymbol{E}(t,\boldsymbol{x}) &= -\operatorname{\mathbf{curl}}_{\boldsymbol{x}}\operatorname{\mathbf{curl}}_{\boldsymbol{x}}\boldsymbol{E}(t,\boldsymbol{x}) - \frac{4\pi}{c^2}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\partial_t\mu(\tau,\boldsymbol{x})\boldsymbol{E}(t-\tau,\boldsymbol{x})\,\mathrm{d}\tau, && t>0,\ \boldsymbol{x}\in\mathbb{R}^3,\\
\boldsymbol{E}(t,\boldsymbol{x}) &= f(t+\tfrac{\boldsymbol{x}_3}{c})\boldsymbol{\eta}, && t\le 0,\ \boldsymbol{x}\in\mathbb{R}^3,\\
p^{(0)}(\boldsymbol{x}) &= \gamma(\boldsymbol{x})\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\mu(\tau,\boldsymbol{x})\left\langle\boldsymbol{E}(t,\boldsymbol{x}),\boldsymbol{E}(t-\tau,\boldsymbol{x})\right\rangle\,\mathrm{d}\tau\,\mathrm{d}t, && \boldsymbol{x}\in\mathbb{R}^3,\\
\boldsymbol{h}(t,\boldsymbol{\xi}) &= \begin{pmatrix}E_1(t,\boldsymbol{\xi})\\E_2(t,\boldsymbol{\xi})\end{pmatrix}, && t>0,\ \boldsymbol{\xi}\in\mathcal{D}.
\end{aligned}$$

Now, although varying the initial pulse f does not give us additional information with respect to the measurements of OCT, see Lemma 3.6, its influence in the photoacoustic part is non-linear and provides us with independent data. Thus, by choosing a one-parameter family of functions f, for example almost monochromatic waves f_ν centered at a frequency ν for arbitrary $\nu>0$, we get for every $\nu>0$ the equation system

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{c^2}\partial_{tt}\boldsymbol{E}_\nu &= -\operatorname{\mathbf{curl}}_{\boldsymbol{x}}\operatorname{\mathbf{curl}}_{\boldsymbol{x}}\boldsymbol{E}_\nu - \frac{4\pi}{c^2}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\partial_t\mu(\tau,\boldsymbol{x})\boldsymbol{E}_\nu(t-\tau,\boldsymbol{x})\,\mathrm{d}\tau, && t>0,\ \boldsymbol{x}\in\mathbb{R}^3, && \text{(51a)}\\
\boldsymbol{E}_\nu(t,\boldsymbol{x}) &= f_\nu(t+\tfrac{\boldsymbol{x}_3}{c})\boldsymbol{\eta}, && t\le 0,\ \boldsymbol{x}\in\mathbb{R}^3, && \text{(51b)}\\
p_\nu^{(0)}(\boldsymbol{x}) &= \gamma(\boldsymbol{x})\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\mu(\tau,\boldsymbol{x})\left\langle\boldsymbol{E}_\nu(t,\boldsymbol{x}),\boldsymbol{E}_\nu(t-\tau,\boldsymbol{x})\right\rangle\,\mathrm{d}\tau\,\mathrm{d}t, && \boldsymbol{x}\in\mathbb{R}^3, && \text{(51c)}\\
\boldsymbol{h}_\nu(t,\boldsymbol{\xi}) &= \begin{pmatrix}E_{\nu,1}(t,\boldsymbol{\xi})\\E_{\nu,2}(t,\boldsymbol{\xi})\end{pmatrix}, && t>0,\ \boldsymbol{\xi}\in\mathcal{D}. && \text{(51d)}
\end{aligned}$$

Now, the first two equations uniquely determine $\boldsymbol{E}_\nu$ as a function of μ. Then, depending on γ, we would have to solve the third equation for the four dimensional function μ, which would leave us with two three dimensional equations for the three dimensional Grüneisen parameter γ.

Although the dimensions of the data seem to perfectly fit for a reconstruction, it is still an open problem if these equations uniquely determine the material parameters, although there are partial results in this direction, see [7], where the injectivity of a very similar system is discussed.

As a plausibility argument, we want to show in the next section that at least in a simplified case, the parameters can be uniquely recovered.

5. Weakly Scattering Medium and multiple Pulsed Laser Illuminations

The full system (51) can be equivalently transformed to a Fredholm integral equation of the second kind under the following assumptions:

i. Born approximation: The medium is weakly scattering, meaning that $\mathcal{F}_t\mu$ is sufficiently small.

ii. Far-field approximation: Typically, in an OCT system, the measurements are performed in a distance much bigger compared to the size of the medium.

iii. Specific illumination: The support of the initial pulses tends to a delta-distribution such that the optical parameter can be assumed constant in this spectrum.

In a first step, we derive a Fredholm integral equation of the first kind for the unknown Grüneisen parameter.

Proposition 5.1.
The complete system (51) *under the above three assumptions is reduced to the integral equation*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K[\tilde{p}](\nu,\boldsymbol{\vartheta};\boldsymbol{y})\frac{1}{\gamma(\boldsymbol{y})}\,\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{y} = \tilde{h}(\nu,\boldsymbol{\vartheta}) \tag{52}$$

where

$$K[\tilde{p}](\nu,\boldsymbol{\vartheta};\boldsymbol{y}) = \left(\tilde{p}(\nu,\boldsymbol{y}) - \frac{\mathrm{i}}{\pi}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{\tilde{p}(\tilde{\nu},\boldsymbol{y})}{\tilde{\nu}-\nu}\,\mathrm{d}\tilde{\nu}\right)\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\frac{\nu}{c}\langle\boldsymbol{\vartheta}+\boldsymbol{e}_3,\boldsymbol{y}\rangle}, \quad \tilde{p}(\nu,\boldsymbol{x}) := 2\pi p_\nu^{(0)}(\boldsymbol{x}) \tag{53}$$

and

$$\tilde{h}(\nu,\boldsymbol{\vartheta}) = \sum_{j=1}^{2}\left(\frac{\mathcal{F}_t\boldsymbol{E}_\nu^{(b)}(\nu,R\boldsymbol{\vartheta})}{\mathcal{F}f_\nu(\nu)} - \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\frac{\nu}{c}R\vartheta_3}\eta_j\right)\frac{\mathrm{i}Rc^2e^{-\mathrm{i}\frac{\nu}{c}R}}{\nu(\vartheta\times\vartheta\times\eta)_j}. \tag{54}$$

Proof: We start with (**??**) - (**??**). We recall equation (41) derived in the proof of Lemma 3.6, then we obtain

$$\mathcal{F}_t\boldsymbol{E}_\nu(\omega,\boldsymbol{x}) = \mathcal{F}f_\nu(\omega)\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\frac{\omega}{c}x_3}\boldsymbol{\eta} + \left(\mathbf{grad}_{\boldsymbol{x}}\,\mathrm{div}_{\boldsymbol{x}} + \frac{\omega^2}{c^2}\right)\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{\mathrm{i}\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\frac{\omega}{c}|\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{y}|}}{\omega|\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{y}|}\mathcal{F}_t\mu(\omega,\boldsymbol{y})\mathcal{F}_t\boldsymbol{E}_\nu(\omega,\boldsymbol{y})\,\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{y},$$

that holds for every frequency $\nu > 0$. Under the Born approximation, we replace $\mathcal{F}_t\boldsymbol{E}_\nu$ in the integral by the incident field, to obtain $\boldsymbol{E}_\nu^{(b)}$:

$$\mathcal{F}_t\boldsymbol{E}_\nu^{(b)}(\omega,\boldsymbol{x}) = \mathcal{F}f_\nu(\omega)\left(\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\frac{\omega}{c}x_3}\boldsymbol{\eta} + \left(\mathbf{grad}_{\boldsymbol{x}}\,\mathrm{div}_{\boldsymbol{x}} + \frac{\omega^2}{c^2}\right)\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{\mathrm{i}\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\frac{\omega}{c}(|\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{y}|-y_3)}}{\omega|\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{y}|}\mathcal{F}_t\mu(\omega,\boldsymbol{y})\,\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{y}\,\boldsymbol{\eta}\right).$$

We set $\boldsymbol{x} = R\boldsymbol{\vartheta}, R > 0$ and $\boldsymbol{\vartheta} \in \mathbb{S}^2$. Considering now the far-field approximation, we can replace the above expression by its asymptotic behaviour for $R \to \infty$, uniformly in $\boldsymbol{\vartheta}$. This gives us, see for example [14, Equation (4.1) in Chapter 4.1]:

$$\mathcal{F}_t\boldsymbol{E}_\nu^{(b)}(\omega,R\vartheta) \simeq \mathcal{F}f_\nu(\omega)\left(\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\frac{\omega}{c}R\vartheta_3}\boldsymbol{\eta} - \frac{\mathrm{i}\omega e^{\mathrm{i}\frac{\omega}{c}R}}{Rc^2}\boldsymbol{\vartheta}\times\boldsymbol{\vartheta}\times\boldsymbol{\eta}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\frac{\omega}{c}\langle\boldsymbol{\vartheta}+\boldsymbol{e}_3,\boldsymbol{y}\rangle}\mathcal{F}_t\mu(\omega,\boldsymbol{y})\,\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{y}\right). \tag{55}$$

Then, for every $\boldsymbol{\vartheta} \in \tilde{\mathcal{D}} = \{\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathbb{S}^2 \mid \exists R > 0 : R\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathcal{D}\}$ and $\nu \in \mathbb{R}^+$ the above equation using equation (**??**) and the definition (54) can be rewritten as

$$\tilde{h}(\nu,\boldsymbol{\vartheta}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\frac{\nu}{c}\langle\boldsymbol{\vartheta}+\boldsymbol{e}_3,\boldsymbol{y}\rangle}\mathcal{F}_t\mu(\nu,\boldsymbol{y})\,\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{y}, \tag{56}$$

where we assumed that $\mathcal{F}f_\nu(\nu) \neq 0$.

Similarly, we proceed with the equation (**??**) of the PAT measurement, written as

$$\boxed{p_\nu^{(0)}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \gamma(\boldsymbol{x}) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left\langle \boldsymbol{E}_\nu(t,\boldsymbol{x}), \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mu(\tau,\boldsymbol{x}) \boldsymbol{E}_\nu(t-\tau,\boldsymbol{x})\,\mathrm{d}\tau \right\rangle \mathrm{d}t.}$$

From Plancherel's formula, if follows that

$$\begin{aligned} p_\nu^{(0)}(\boldsymbol{x}) &= \gamma(\boldsymbol{x}) \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left\langle \mathcal{F}_t \boldsymbol{E}_\nu(\omega,\boldsymbol{x}), \overline{\mathcal{F}_t \mu(\omega,\boldsymbol{x}) \mathcal{F}_t \boldsymbol{E}_\nu(\omega,\boldsymbol{x})} \right\rangle \mathrm{d}\omega \\ &= \gamma(\boldsymbol{x}) \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \overline{\mathcal{F}_t \mu(\omega,\boldsymbol{x})} |\mathcal{F}_t \boldsymbol{E}_\nu(\omega,\boldsymbol{x})|^2 \,\mathrm{d}\omega \\ &= \gamma(\boldsymbol{x}) \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}_t \mu(\omega,\boldsymbol{x}) |\mathcal{F}_t \boldsymbol{E}_\nu(\omega,\boldsymbol{x})|^2 \,\mathrm{d}\omega. \end{aligned}$$

For the last equation we used that $p_\nu^{(0)}$ is real valued. Considering again the Born approximation, we obtain

$$\boxed{\tilde{p}(\nu,\boldsymbol{x}) = \gamma(\boldsymbol{x}) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}_t \mu(\omega,\boldsymbol{x}) |\mathcal{F} f_\nu(\omega)|^2 \,\mathrm{d}\omega.}$$

To take advantage of the third assumption, we choose initial pulses so that $\mathcal{F} f_\nu$ has only support on a domain $\{\omega \in \mathbb{R} \mid |\omega| \in [\nu-\varepsilon, \nu+\varepsilon]\}$ with a sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $\mathcal{F}_t \mu(\cdot,\boldsymbol{x})$ can be assumed to be for every $\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}^3$ constant on this support (we remark that since f and μ are a real-valued functions, the real and imaginary parts of their Fourier transforms have to be even and odd, respectively). If we additionally normalise $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\mathcal{F} f_\nu(\omega)|^2 \,\mathrm{d}\omega = \frac{1}{2}$, we find that

$$\tilde{p}(\nu,\boldsymbol{x}) = \gamma(\boldsymbol{x})\, \Re\mathrm{e}(\mathcal{F}_t \mu(\nu,\boldsymbol{x})). \tag{57}$$

Moreover, since μ is a real-valued function, we know that its Fourier transform fulfils the Kramers–Kronig relation [32]

$$\Im\mathrm{m}(\mathcal{F}_t \mu(\omega,\boldsymbol{x})) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\Re\mathrm{e}(\mathcal{F}_t \mu(\tilde{\omega},\boldsymbol{x}))}{\tilde{\omega}-\omega} \,\mathrm{d}\tilde{\omega}. \tag{58}$$

Thus, combining (56), (57), and (58), we get the integral equation (52) for the function $\frac{1}{\gamma}$ where the kernel depends on the data $\tilde{p}$ obtained from the PAT measurements. □

Remark 5.2:

i. *Compared to [14, Formula (20)], we have to mention that the different term in front of the integral in equation (55) is due to the different scaling between the coefficients μ and χ, see the definition of $\boldsymbol{P}$.*

ii. *In practice, we do have equation (56) for every $\nu \in \mathbb{R}^+$ since the band-limited source allows measurements only for a fixed frequency spectrum.*

iii. *In the most commonly used formulas appears the imaginary part of the permittivity or the conductivity in (57). The differentiation of our result is due to the definition of μ, see Remark 3.4, and should not confuse the reader.*

5.1. Analytical formulas for special material. The particular form of the kernel K, see equation (53), motivated us to transform the integral equation (52) of the first kind to one of the second kind. More precisely, in the special case, where the object mainly consists of a single material with the frequency dependence given by some function α and a density distribution β, that is, if the initial pressure is of the form

$$\tilde{p}(\nu, \boldsymbol{x}) = \alpha(\nu)\beta(\boldsymbol{x}) + \varepsilon(\nu, \boldsymbol{x})$$

for some small function ε, we may write the integral equation (52) considering (53) as

$$A(\nu) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{\beta(\boldsymbol{y})}{\gamma(\boldsymbol{y})} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\frac{\nu}{c}\langle \boldsymbol{\vartheta}+\boldsymbol{e}_3, \boldsymbol{y}\rangle} \,\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{y} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K[\varepsilon](\nu, \boldsymbol{\vartheta}; \boldsymbol{y}) \frac{\beta(\boldsymbol{y})}{\gamma(\boldsymbol{y})} \,\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{y} = \tilde{h}(\nu, \boldsymbol{\vartheta}),$$

where

$$A(\nu) = \alpha(\nu) - \frac{\mathrm{i}}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha(\tilde{\nu})}{\tilde{\nu} - \nu} \,\mathrm{d}\tilde{\nu}.$$

If we assume that $A(\nu) \neq 0$, then we may rewrite this as a Fredholm integral equation of the second kind for the Fourier transform

$$\Gamma(\boldsymbol{k}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{\beta(\boldsymbol{y})}{\gamma(\boldsymbol{y})} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\langle \boldsymbol{k}, \boldsymbol{y}\rangle} \,\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{y}$$

of the function $\frac{\beta}{\gamma}$:

$$\Gamma(\boldsymbol{k}) + \frac{1}{A(\nu)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \hat{K}(\boldsymbol{k}; \boldsymbol{\kappa}) \Gamma(\boldsymbol{\kappa}) \,\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\kappa} = \hat{h}(\boldsymbol{k}),$$

where the kernel $\hat{K}$ is for all values $\boldsymbol{k} \in \mathbb{R}^3$ which are of the form $\boldsymbol{k} = \frac{\nu}{c}(\boldsymbol{\vartheta} + \boldsymbol{e}_3)$, $\vartheta \in \tilde{\mathcal{D}}$, defined by

$$\hat{K}(\tfrac{\nu}{c}(\boldsymbol{\vartheta} + \boldsymbol{e}_3); \boldsymbol{\kappa}) = \frac{1}{A(\nu)} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K[\varepsilon](\nu, \boldsymbol{\vartheta}; \boldsymbol{y}) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\langle \boldsymbol{\kappa}, \boldsymbol{y}\rangle} \,\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{y}$$

and $\hat{h}$ is similarly defined by

$$\hat{h}(\tfrac{\nu}{c}(\boldsymbol{\vartheta} + \boldsymbol{e}_3)) = \frac{1}{A(\nu)} \tilde{h}(\nu, \boldsymbol{\vartheta}).$$

In particular, we see that for a sufficiently small function ε, the integral equation for Γ can have at most one solution [27, 47].

6. Relation between the Material Parameters

In this section we want to discuss the connection between the parameter μ, defined in (20), and the absorption and scattering coefficients, μ_a and μ_s, appearing in the radiative transfer equation (3). Recently, there has been established the connection between the radiative transfer equation (vector and scalar) and equations in classical electromagnetism [36, 42]. However, even under simplifying assumptions, these results do not lead to a direct connection between the material parameters μ, μ_a and μ_s as the following remark shows.

Remark 6.1:

This remark is based on classical results [8, 45] and follows [42]. Let the medium contain N well separated scattering particles. We assume that there exist balls B_k, $k = 1, ..., N$ containing only one particle with large radius. We define by $\boldsymbol{N}_k$ the unit outward normal vector on the

boundary ∂B_k. Let us denote by $\boldsymbol{F}^+$ and $\boldsymbol{F}^-$ the outgoing (scattered) and incoming (incident) field for a given particle, respectively. If we neglect the interference effects between the incoming and outgoing fields, we can set as incident on the subdomain B_k the field

$$\boldsymbol{E}_k^- = \sum_{\boldsymbol{x}\in\Gamma_k} \boldsymbol{E}, \quad \text{where} \quad \Gamma_k = \{\boldsymbol{x} \in \partial B_k \mid \langle \boldsymbol{S}, \boldsymbol{N}_k\rangle < 0\},$$

where $\boldsymbol{S}$ is defined in Remark 4.1. Then, $\boldsymbol{E}_k^+ = \boldsymbol{E} - \boldsymbol{E}_k^-$. We redefine the time averaged Poynting vector (the real part of the complex Poynting vector)

$$\boldsymbol{S}^\alpha(\nu,\boldsymbol{x}) := \frac{c}{8\pi}\,\Re\mathrm{e}(\mathcal{F}_t\boldsymbol{E}^\alpha(\nu,\boldsymbol{x}) \times \overline{\mathcal{F}_t\boldsymbol{B}^\alpha(\nu,\boldsymbol{x})}), \quad \alpha = -,+,$$

describing the average flux of the incident and the scattered field, respectively. Then, the averaged rate of the energy incident on kth particle is given by $S_k^-(\nu) := \frac{1}{B_k}\int_{B_k} |\boldsymbol{S}^-(\nu,\boldsymbol{x})|\,\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x}$. Then, since every domain contains only one particle, the coefficients obtain the forms

$$\mu_{\mathrm{a},k}(\nu) = \frac{B_k}{S_k^-(\nu)}\int_{B_k}\int_{\mathbb{R}} \langle \boldsymbol{E}(t,\boldsymbol{x}), \bar{\boldsymbol{j}}(t,\boldsymbol{x})\rangle\,\mathrm{d}t\,\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x}, \quad k = 1,...,N$$
$$\mu_{\mathrm{s},k}(\nu) = \frac{B_k}{S_k^-(\nu)}\int_{\partial B_k} \left\langle \boldsymbol{S}^+(\nu,\boldsymbol{x}), \boldsymbol{N}_k\right\rangle\,\mathrm{d}s(\boldsymbol{x}), \quad k = 1,...,N.$$

If the assumptions of the previous section still hold, then we can approximate the absorbed power as in the derivation of (57) resulting to

$$\mu_{\mathrm{a},k}(\nu) \simeq \frac{B_k}{S_k^-(\nu)}\int_{B_k} \Re\mathrm{e}(\mathcal{F}_t\mu(\nu,\boldsymbol{x}))\,\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x}.$$

Observing the above relations, we can identify the connection between the real part of the Fourier transform of μ and the absorption coefficient. But still arises the question if the obtained absorption and scattering coefficients are the ones that appear in the RTE, see Section 2. The fact that they depend on the incident average rate, results to an indirect dependence on the initial illumination characterizing the coefficients as non material parameters. If we omit the Born approximation, the dependence on the electric fields is stronger. In addition, the assumptions on the inner structure of the medium, make the above formulas applicable only in special cases.

7. Conclusions

To our knowledge this paper is the first to use Maxwell's equations to model PAT. So far, the Maxwell's equations were only considered for modelling Thermoacoustic tomography (TAT) where low-frequency radiation is used. However, since recently the connection between the radiative transfer equation and classical electromagnetism has been established [36, 42] there is no need to differentiate from the proposed model considering the different modalities photo- or thermo-acoustics. Additionally, in our model the frequency dependence of the optical parameter is not neglected and thus the effect of the different incident frequencies to the medium are included. This modelling allows to develop a unifying model for OCT and PAT, and thus we can describe the combined setup.

Acknowledgements

The work of OS has been supported by the Austrian Science Fund (FWF), Project P26687-N25 (Interdisciplinary Coupled Physics Imaging).

A. Solution of Maxwell's equations

If the charge density ϱ and the electric current $\boldsymbol{j}$ are known, then Maxwell's microscopic equations (11) can be explicitly solved.

Proposition A.1.
Let $\boldsymbol{e}$ and $\boldsymbol{b}$ be solutions of (11) for given functions ρ and $\boldsymbol{j}$. Then, $\boldsymbol{e}$ has the form

$$\boldsymbol{e}(t,\boldsymbol{x}) = \frac{1}{4\pi ct}\int_{\partial B_{ct}(\boldsymbol{x})}\left(\mathbf{curl}_{\boldsymbol{x}}\,\boldsymbol{b}(0,\boldsymbol{y}) - \frac{4\pi}{c}\boldsymbol{j}(0,\boldsymbol{y})\right)\mathrm{d}s(\boldsymbol{y}) + \partial_t\left(\frac{1}{4\pi c^2 t}\int_{\partial B_{ct}(\boldsymbol{x})}\boldsymbol{e}(0,\boldsymbol{y})\,\mathrm{d}s(\boldsymbol{y})\right)$$
$$-\int_{B_{ct}(0)}\frac{1}{|\boldsymbol{y}|}\left(\mathbf{grad}_{\boldsymbol{x}}\,\rho(t-\tfrac{|\boldsymbol{y}|}{c},\boldsymbol{x}+\boldsymbol{y}) + \frac{1}{c^2}\partial_t\boldsymbol{j}(t-\tfrac{|\boldsymbol{y}|}{c},\boldsymbol{x}+\boldsymbol{y})\right)\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{y}. \tag{59}$$

Proof: We combine the equations (11c) and (11d) to the vector wave equation

$$\frac{1}{c^2}\partial_{tt}\boldsymbol{e}(t,\boldsymbol{x}) + \mathbf{curl}_{\boldsymbol{x}}\,\mathbf{curl}_{\boldsymbol{x}}\,\boldsymbol{e}(t,\boldsymbol{x}) = -\frac{4\pi}{c^2}\partial_t\boldsymbol{j}(t,\boldsymbol{x}).$$

Then, using the vector identity $\mathbf{curl}_{\boldsymbol{x}}\,\mathbf{curl}_{\boldsymbol{x}}\,\boldsymbol{e}(t,\boldsymbol{x}) = \mathbf{grad}_{\boldsymbol{x}}\,\mathrm{div}_{\boldsymbol{x}}\,\boldsymbol{e}(t,\boldsymbol{x}) - \Delta_x\boldsymbol{e}(t,\boldsymbol{x})$, we can transform this with (11a) to the inhomogeneous wave equation

$$\frac{1}{c^2}\partial_{tt}\boldsymbol{e}(t,\boldsymbol{x}) - \Delta_{\boldsymbol{x}}\boldsymbol{e}(t,\boldsymbol{x}) = -4\pi\boldsymbol{f}(t,\boldsymbol{x}), \qquad \boldsymbol{f}(t,\boldsymbol{x}) = \mathbf{grad}_{\boldsymbol{x}}\,\rho(t,\boldsymbol{x}) + \frac{1}{c^2}\partial_t\boldsymbol{j}(t,\boldsymbol{x}).$$

Subtracting the solution $\boldsymbol{e}^{(0)}$ of the homogeneous wave equation with the initial conditions $\boldsymbol{e}^{(0)}(0,\boldsymbol{x}) = \boldsymbol{e}(0,\boldsymbol{x})$ and $\partial_t\boldsymbol{e}^{(0)}(0,\boldsymbol{x}) = \mathbf{curl}_{\boldsymbol{x}}\,\boldsymbol{b}(0,\boldsymbol{x}) - \frac{4\pi}{c}\boldsymbol{j}(0,\boldsymbol{x})$, we find that $\boldsymbol{e} - \boldsymbol{e}^{(0)}$ solves the inhomogeneous wave equation with zero initial data. So, by Duhamel's principle, see for example [17, Chapter 2.4, Theorem 4], the solution is given by

$$\boldsymbol{e}(t,\boldsymbol{x}) = \boldsymbol{e}^{(0)}(t,\boldsymbol{x}) - \int_0^{ct}\frac{1}{ct-\zeta}\int_{\partial B_{ct-\zeta}(\boldsymbol{x})}\boldsymbol{f}(\tfrac{\zeta}{c},\boldsymbol{y})\,\mathrm{d}s(\boldsymbol{y})\,\mathrm{d}\zeta.$$

Combining the two integrals, we obtain

$$\boldsymbol{e}(t,\boldsymbol{x}) = \boldsymbol{e}^{(0)}(t,\boldsymbol{x}) - \int_{B_{ct}(\boldsymbol{x})}\frac{1}{|\boldsymbol{y}-\boldsymbol{x}|}\boldsymbol{f}(t-\tfrac{|\boldsymbol{y}-\boldsymbol{x}|}{c},\boldsymbol{y})\,\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{y}. \tag{60}$$

Plugging in the explicit formula for the solution $\boldsymbol{e}^{(0)}$ of the homogeneous wave equation, see for example [17, Chapter 2.4, Theorem 2], we arrive at (59). □

References

[1] M. Agranovsky and P. Kuchment. Uniqueness of reconstruction and an inversion procedure for thermoacoustic and photoacoustic tomography with variable sound speed. 23(5):2089–2102, 2007.

[2] P. E. Andersen, L Thrane, H. T. Yura, A. Tycho, T. M. Jørgensen, and M. H. Frosz. Advanced modelling of optical coherence tomography systems. 49:1307–1327, 2004.

[3] G. Bal. Hybrid inverse problems and internal functionals. In G. Uhlmann, editor, *Handbook of Mathematical Methods in Imaging*, pages 325–368. Cambridge University Press, 2012.

[4] G. Bal and K. Ren. Multi-source quantitative photoacoustic tomography in a diffusive regime. 27(7):075003, 2011.

[5] G. Bal, K. Ren, G. Uhlmann, and T. Zhou. Quantitative thermo-acoustics and related problems. 27:055007, 2011.

[6] G. Bal and G. Uhlmann. Inverse diffusion theory of photoacoustics. 26:085010, 2010.

[7] G. Bal and T. Zhou. Hybrid inverse problems for a system of maxwell's equations. 30:055013, 2014.

[8] M. Born and E. Wolf. *Principles of Optics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 7 edition, 1999.

[9] T. Brenner, D. Reitzle, and A. Kienle. An algorithm for simulating image formation in optical coherence tomography for cylinder scattering. 2015.

[10] O. Bruno and J. Chaubell. One-dimensional inverse scattering problem for optical coherence tomography. 21:499–524, 2005.

[11] D. Colton and R. Kress. *Inverse acoustic and electromagnetic scattering theory*, volume 93 of *Applied Mathematical Sciences*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2 edition, 1998.

[12] R. Dautray and J.-L. Lions. *Mathematical analysis and numerical methods for science and technology. Volume 6*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1993. Evolution problems II.

[13] W. Drexler, M. Liu, A. Kumar, T. Kamali, A. Unterhuber, and R. A. Leigeb. Optical coherence tomography today: speed, contrast, and multimodality. 19(7):071412, 2014.

[14] P. Elbau, L. Mindrinos, and O. Scherzer. Mathematical modeling of optical coherence tomography. In O. Scherzer, editor, *Handbook of Mathematical Methods in Imaging*, pages 1169–1204. Springer New York, 2015.

[15] P. Elbau and O. Scherzer. Modelling the effect of focusing detectors in photoacoustic sectional imaging. 8(1):1–18, 2015. .

[16] P. Elbau, O. Scherzer, and R. Schulze. Reconstruction formulas for photoacoustic sectional imaging. 28(4):045004, 2012.

[17] L. C. Evans. *Partial Differential Equations*, volume 19 of *Graduate Studies in Mathematics*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1998.

[18] A. F. Fercher. Optical coherence tomography - development, principles, applications. 20:251–276, 2010.

[19] A. F. Fercher, W. Drexler, C. K. Hitzenberger, and T. Lasser. Optical coherence tomography - principles and applications. 66(2):239–303, 2003.

[20] A. F. Fercher, C. K. Hitzenberger, G. Kamp, and S. Y. El Zaiat. Measurement of intraocular distances by backscattering spectral interferometry. 117:43–48, 1995.

[21] D. Finch, M. Haltmeier, and Rakesh. Inversion of spherical means and the wave equation in even dimensions. 68(2):392–412, 2007.

[22] D. Finch, S. Patch, and Rakesh. Determining a function from its mean values over a family of spheres. 35(5):1213–1240, 2004.

[23] M. Haltmeier, L. Neumann, and S. Rabanser. Single-stage reconstruction algorithm for quantitative photoacoustic tomography. 31(6):065005, 2015.

[24] T. Hellmuth. Contrast and resolution in optical coherence tomography. In I. J. Bigio, W. S. Grundfest, H. Schneckenburger, K. Svanberg, and P. M. Viallet, editors, *Optical Biopsies and Microscopic Techniques*, volume 2926 of *Proc. SPIE*, pages 228–237, 1997.

[25] J. D. Jackson. *Classical Electrodynamics*. Wiley, 3 edition, 1998.

[26] A. Kirsch and O. Scherzer. Simultaneous reconstructions of absorption density and wave speed with photoacoustic measurements. 72(5):1508–1523, 2012.

[27] R. Kress. *Linear Integral Equations*. Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1989.

[28] P. Kuchment and O. Scherzer. Mathematical methods in photoacoustic imaging. In B. Engquist, editor, *Encyclopedia of Applied and Computational Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, 2015.

[29] L. A. Kunyansky. Explicit inversion formulae for the spherical mean Radon transform. 23(1):373–383, 2007.

[30] L. A. Kunyansky. A series solution and a fast algorithm for the inversion of the spherical mean radon transform. 23(6):S11–S20, 2007.

[31] L. A. Kunyansky. Reconstruction of a function from its spherical (circular) means with the centers lying on the surface of certain polygons and polyhedra. 27(2):025012, 2011.

[32] L.D. Landau and E.M. Lifschitz. *Course of Theoretical Physics, Volume 6: Fluid Mechanics*. Pergamon Press, New York, 2 edition, 1987.

[33] M. Liu, N. Schmitner, M. G. Sandrian, B. Zabihian, B. Hermann, W. Salvenmoser, D. Meyer, and W. Drexler. In vivo three dimensional dual wavelength photoacoustic tomography imaging of the far red fluorescent protein e2-crimson expressed in adult zebrafish. 4(10):1846–1855, 2013.

[34] M. Liu, N. Schmitner, M. G. Sandrian, B. Zabihian, B. Hermann, W. Salvenmoser, D. Meyer, and W. Drexler. In vivo spectroscopic photoacoustic tomography imaging of a far red fluorescent protein expressed in the exocrine pancreas of adult zebrafish. 8943:142, 2014.

[35] D. L. Marks, T. S. Ralston, S. A. Boppart, and P. S. Carney. Inverse scattering for frequency-scanned full-field optical coherence tomography. 24(4):1034–1041, 2007.

[36] M. I. Mishchenko. Poynting-stokes tensor and radiative transfer in discrete random media: the microphysical paradigm. 18:19770–19791, 2010.

[37] F. Natterer. Photo-acoustic inversion in convex domains. Preprint, Universität Münster, Germany, 2011.

[38] V. P. Palamodov. A uniform reconstruction formula in integral geometry. 28(6), 2012.

[39] V. P. Palamodov. Time reversal in photoacoustic tomography and levitation in a cavity. 30(12):125006, 16, 2014.

[40] T. S. Ralston. Deconvolution methods for mitigation of transverse blurring in optical coherence tomography. 14(9):1254–1264, 2005.

[41] T. S. Ralston, D. L. Marks, P. S. Carney, and S. A. Boppart. Inverse scattering for optical coherence tomography. 23(5):1027–1037, 2006.

[42] J. Ripoll. Derivation of the scalar radiative transfer equation from energy conservation of maxwell's equations in the far field. 28(8):1765–1775, 2011.

[43] M. Santos, A. Araüjo, S. Barbeiro, F. Cramelo, A. Correia, M.I. Marques, M. Morgado, L. Pinto, P. Serranho, and A. Bernardes. Maxwell's equations based 3d model of light scattering in the retina. page 5pp, 2015.

[44] A. S. F. C. Silva and A. L. Correia. From optical coherence tomography to maxwell's equations. pages 1–4, 2013.

[45] L. Tsang, J. A. Kong, and K. H. Ding. *Scattering of Electromagnetic Waves: Theories and Applications*. Wiley, New York, 2000.

[46] L. V. Wang and H. Wu, editors. *Biomedical Optics: Principles and Imaging*. Wiley-Interscience, New York, 2007.

[47] A. M. Wazwaz, editor. *Linear and Nonlinear Integral Equations*. Springer, Heidelberg, 2011.

[48] M. Xu and L. V. Wang. Time-domain reconstruction for thermoacoustic tomography in a spherical geometry. 21(7):814–822, 2002.

[49] M. Xu and L. V. Wang. Universal back-projection algorithm for photoacoustic computed tomography. 71(1), 2005.

[50] Y. Xu, D. Feng, and L. V. Wang. Exact frequency-domain reconstrcution for thermoacoustic tomography — I: Planar geometry. 21(7):823–828, 2002.

[51] Y. Xu, M. Xu, and L. V. Wang. Exact frequency-domain reconstruction for thermoacoustic tomography — II: Cylindrical geometry. 21:829–833, 2002.

[52] E.Z. Zhang, B. Povazay, J. Laufer, A. Alex, B. Hofer, B. Pedley, C. Glittenberg, B. Treeby, B. Cox, P. Beard, and W. Drexler. Multimodal photoacoustic and optical coherence tomography scanner using an all optical detection scheme for 3D morphological skin imaging. 2(8):2202–2215, 2011.